

State of Colorado
Energy & Carbon Management Commission

1120 Lincoln Street, Suite 801, Denver, Colorado 80203
Phone: (303) 894-2100 Fax: (303) 894-2109



Document Number:
404076561
Receive Date:
01/31/2025

Report taken by:
Kyle Waggoner

Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan (Supplemental Form)

This form shall be submitted to the Director for approval prior to the initiation of site investigation and remediation activities. However, this shall not preclude the Operator from taking immediate action to protect public health or safety, the environment, wildlife, or livestock.

This Form 27 describes site conditions as currently understood by the Operator; approval of this Form 27 by ECMC is based on the site conditions accurately described herein; any changes in site conditions identified during or subsequent to the performance of the approved workplan may necessitate additional investigation or remediation which shall be described on a supplemental Form 27. This Form 27 is intended to provide basic information regarding the proposed site investigation and remediation actions, but the workplan may be more fully described in attached documentation.

Closure request is not available for an Initial Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan.

OPERATOR INFORMATION

Name of Operator: <u>NOBLE ENERGY INC</u>	Operator No: <u>100322</u>	Phone Numbers Phone: <u>(970) 313-5582</u> Mobile: <u>()</u>
Address: <u>1099 18TH STREET SUITE 1500</u>		
City: <u>DENVER</u>	State: <u>CO</u>	Zip: <u>80202</u>
Contact Person: <u>Jason Davidson</u>	Email: <u>jason.davidson@chevron.com</u>	

PROJECT, PURPOSE & SITE INFORMATION

PROJECT INFORMATION

Remediation Project #: 25156 Initial Form 27 Document #: 403176270

PURPOSE INFORMATION

- Rule 913.c.(1): Pit or Cuttings Trench closure.
- Rule 913.c.(2): Buried or partially buried vessel closure, which will be by removal.
- Rule 913.c.(3): Remediation of Spill and Releases pursuant to Rule 912.
- Rule 913.c.(4): Land treatment of Oily Waste pursuant to Rule 905.e.
- Rule 913.c.(5): Closure of Centralized E&P Waste Management Facilities pursuant to Rule 907.h.
- Rule 913.c.(6): Remediation of impacted Groundwater pursuant to Rule 915.e.(3).D, and the contaminant concentrations in Table 915-1.
- Rule 913.c.(7): Investigation and remediation of natural gas in soil or Groundwater.
- Rule 913.c.(8): When requested by the Director due to any potential risk to soil, Groundwater, or surface water.
- Rule 913.c.(9): Decommissioning of Oil and Gas Facilities.
- Rule 913.g: Changes of Operator.
- Rule 915.b: Request to leave elevated inorganics in situ.
- Other: _____

SITE INFORMATION

Yes Multiple Facilities

Facility Type: <u>WELL</u>	Facility ID: _____	API #: <u>123-11925</u>	County Name: <u>WELD</u>
Facility Name: <u>HANSCOME, C 2</u>	Latitude: <u>40.285270</u>	Longitude: <u>-104.562950</u>	
** correct Lat/Long if needed: Latitude: _____		Longitude: _____	
QtrQtr: <u>SWNW</u>	Sec: <u>28</u>	Twp: <u>4N</u>	Range: <u>64W</u> Meridian: <u>6</u> Sensitive Area? <u>Yes</u>
Facility Type: <u>SPILL OR RELEASE</u>	Facility ID: <u>484124</u>	API #: _____	County Name: <u>WELD</u>
Facility Name: <u>Hanscome C 2</u>	Latitude: <u>40.285081</u>	Longitude: <u>-104.564823</u>	
** correct Lat/Long if needed: Latitude: _____		Longitude: _____	
QtrQtr: <u>SWNW</u>	Sec: <u>28</u>	Twp: <u>4N</u>	Range: <u>64W</u> Meridian: <u>6</u> Sensitive Area? <u>Yes</u>

SITE CONDITIONS

General soil type - USCS Classifications SW _____

Most Sensitive Adjacent Land Use Crop Land _____

Is domestic water well within 1/4 mile? Yes _____

Is surface water within 1/4 mile? Yes _____

Is groundwater less than 20 feet below ground surface? No _____

Other Potential Receptors within 1/4 mile

Irrigation Pond 0.16mi N
Residential 0.15/0.16mi SW, 0.18/0.21mi NW
Farm Structures 0.18/0.23mi NW, 0.20mi NE, 0.16/0.17/0.18mi SW

SITE INVESTIGATION PLAN

TYPE OF WASTE:

- | | | |
|--|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E&P Waste | <input type="checkbox"/> Other E&P Waste | <input type="checkbox"/> Non-E&P Waste |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Produced Water | <input type="checkbox"/> Workover Fluids | _____ |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Oil | <input type="checkbox"/> Tank Bottoms | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Condensate | <input type="checkbox"/> Pigging Waste | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Drilling Fluids | <input type="checkbox"/> Rig Wash | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Drill Cuttings | <input type="checkbox"/> Spent Filters | |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Pit Bottoms | |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (as described by EPA) | _____ |

DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT

Impacted?	Impacted Media	Extent of Impact	How Determined
UNDETERMINED	GROUNDWATER	NA	Lab analysis if encountered
Yes	SOILS	10' X 10' X 4' bgs	Lab analysis

INITIAL ACTION SUMMARY

Description of initial action or emergency response measures take to abate, investigate, and/or remediate impacts associated with E&P Waste.

Pursuant to COGCC Rule 911 a site investigation was conducted pertaining to the HANSCOME C02 wellhead cut and cap and flowline removal. The flowline was partially abandoned in place and the Form 44 document # will be provided on a subsequent Form 27. The wellhead was cut and capped per COGCC rules. Additionally, soil samples were collected at any points of material change and/or hammer unions, directional changes, as well as at the bell holes on either side of a waterway, AS APPLICABLE to abandonment type. The Flowline Pre-Abandonment Notice Document number is included under Related Forms.

PROPOSED SAMPLING PLAN

Proposed Soil Sampling

Will soil samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, type (grab/composite), analyses, and locations of samples):

A grab soil sample was collected at the base of the excavation or the area showing the highest degree of impact during field screening activities at the wellhead excavation. Additionally, soil samples were collected at any points of material change and/or hammer unions, directional changes, as well as at the bell holes on either side of a waterway, AS APPLICABLE to abandonment type. A grab confirmation soil sample was collected at the wellhead excavation. Soil samples were analyzed by a certified laboratory for TPH (total volatile [C6-C10] and extractable [C10-C36] hydrocarbons) organic compounds in soil per COGCC Table 915-1, and EC, SAR, pH, and boron. All samples collected were analyzed by a certified laboratory using approved COGCC laboratory analysis methods.

Proposed Groundwater Sampling

Will groundwater samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, analyses, and locations of samples):

If groundwater is encountered during the site investigation a grab groundwater will be collected and analyzed for all organic compounds per COGCC Table 915-1.

Proposed Surface Water Sampling

Will surface water samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, analyses, and locations of samples):

Additional Investigative Actions

Additional alternative investigative actions described in attached Site Investigation Plan (summary):

Visual inspection of the wellhead and flowline areas occurred during abandonment activities. Field personnel field screened all disturbed areas using visual and olfactory senses to determine if laboratory confirmation sampling was required. The COGCC Flowline Closure and Wellhead Closure Checklists were utilized and filled out during the abandonment process. A photolog was submitted on Form 27 document #403446918.

SITE INVESTIGATION REPORT

SAMPLE SUMMARY

Soil

Number of soil samples collected 33
Number of soil samples exceeding 915-1 27
Was the areal and vertical extent of soil contamination delineated? Yes
Approximate areal extent (square feet) 150

NA / ND

ND Highest concentration of TPH (mg/kg) _____
-- Highest concentration of SAR 1.89
BTEX > 915-1 No
Vertical Extent > 915-1 (in feet) 4

Groundwater

Number of groundwater samples collected 0
Was extent of groundwater contaminated delineated? No
Depth to groundwater (below ground surface, in feet) _____
Number of groundwater monitoring wells installed _____
Number of groundwater samples exceeding 915-1 _____

Highest concentration of Benzene (µg/l) _____
Highest concentration of Toluene (µg/l) _____
Highest concentration of Ethylbenzene (µg/l) _____
Highest concentration of Xylene (µg/l) _____
Highest concentration of Methane (mg/l) _____

Surface Water

0 Number of surface water samples collected
_____ Number of surface water samples exceeding 915-1
If surface water is impacted, other agency notification may be required.

OTHER INVESTIGATION INFORMATION

Were impacts to adjacent property or offsite impacts identified?

Were background samples collected as part of this site investigation?

A total of twelve background samples were collected from six discrete locations near the former flowline location and the lithology between the investigation and background locations were observed to be well-graded sands. The background soil samples were collected from depths ranging from 4 to 8 feet below ground surface (ft bgs), and were analyzed for metals in soil per ECMC Table 915-1. Arsenic was observed in all twelve background soil samples above ECMC Table 915-1 standards.

Was investigation derived waste (IDW) generated as part of this investigation?

Volume of solid waste (cubic yards) _____ Volume of liquid waste (barrels) _____

Is further site investigation required?

REMEDIAL ACTION PLAN

Does this Supplemental Form 27A include changes to a previously approved Remedial Action Plan? No

SOURCE REMOVAL SUMMARY

Describe how source is to be removed.

The source of the hydrocarbon exceedances above ECMC Table 915-1 RSSLs that were originally identified during decommissioning at sample location FL01-F@4', were successfully removed through a remedial excavation completed on 1/8/2024. The results of the remedial excavation were originally submitted under Form 27 document #403698643, and will be resubmitted with encrypted versions of the laboratory analytical reports on the next SF27.

Based on full delineation of hydrocarbon compounds above ECMC Table 915-1 GSSLs, the ECMC approval to apply ECMC Table 915-1 RSSLs for confirmatory sampling results at this site (refer to ECMC Document #403522704), thus eliminating Benzo(a)Anthracene as a contaminant of concern at sample locations FL01-A@4' and SS02@4', the elimination of arsenic as a contaminant of concern, and lack of a pathway for contaminant migration to groundwater (refer to the attached site assessment report and Operator Comments section), Noble will request No Further Action (NFA) on the next SF27.

REMIEDIATION SUMMARY

Describe how remediation of existing impacts to soil and groundwater is to be accomplished (i.e. summarize remedial action plan). Provide a brief narrative description including: technical justification, schedule for implementation, estimated time to attain NFA status, plus plans and specifications for the selected remedial action technology.

A total of approximately 30 cubic yards of impacted material were removed for off-Site disposal at the Waste Management Buffalo Ridge Landfill in Keenesburg, Colorado under signed Noble waste manifests. A total of approximately 30 cubic yards of imported clean fill was used to backfill the excavation. The final remedial excavation extent measured approximately 15 ft by 10 ft by 5 ft bgs.

Based on field observations and laboratory analytical results obtained during decommissioning and subsequent site investigation activities, additional remedial actions are not warranted at this time.

Soil Remediation Summary

In Situ

Ex Situ

_____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)

Yes _____ Excavate and offsite disposal

_____ Chemical oxidation

_____ If Yes: Estimated Volume (Cubic Yards) _____ 30

_____ Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction

_____ Name of Licensed Disposal Facility or ECMC Facility ID # _____

_____ Natural Attenuation

_____ Excavate and onsite remediation

_____ Other _____

_____ Land Treatment

_____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)

_____ Chemical oxidation

_____ Other _____

Groundwater Remediation Summary

_____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)

_____ Chemical oxidation

_____ Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction

_____ Natural Attenuation

_____ Other _____

GROUNDWATER MONITORING

If groundwater has been impacted, describe proposed monitoring plan, including # of wells or sample points, monitoring schedule, analytical methods, points of compliance. Attach a groundwater monitoring location diagram.

Groundwater was not encountered during decommissioning, site investigation, or remedial excavation activities.

REMEDIATION PROGRESS UPDATE

PERIODIC REPORTING

Approved Reporting Schedule:

Quarterly Semi-Annually Annually Other

Request Alternative Reporting Schedule:

Semi-Annually Annually Other

Rule 913.e:

After initial approval of a Form 27, the Operator will provide quarterly update reports in a Supplemental Form 27 to document progress of site investigation and remediation, unless an alternative reporting schedule has been requested by the Operator and approved by the Director. The Director may request a more frequent reporting schedule based on site-specific conditions.

Report Type: Groundwater Monitoring Land Treatment Progress Report O&M Report
 Other 1Q25 Timeline Update

Adequacy of Operator's General Liability Insurance and Financial Assurance

Describe the adequacy of the Operator's general liability insurance and Financial Assurance to fully address the anticipated costs of Remediation, including the estimated remaining cost for this project (below).

If this information has been provided on a Form 27 within the last 12 months, provide the Document Number of that form.

Noble intends to directly address the costs of remediation at the locations as part of our asset retirement obligation process and operations. Noble has general liability insurance (policy MWZZ 316714) and financial assurance in compliance with COGCC rules. Records are available on the COGCC's website. The cost for remediation is an estimate only, costs may change upwards or downward based on site-specific information. Noble makes no representation or guarantees as to the accuracy of the estimate.

Operator anticipates the remaining cost for this project to be: \$ 50000

WASTE DISPOSAL INFORMATION

Was E&P waste generated as part of this remediation? Yes

Describe beneficial use, if any, of E&P Waste derived from this remediation project:

NA

Volume of E&P Waste (solid) in cubic yards 30

E&P waste (solid) description Soil

ECMC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable: _____

Non-ECMC Disposal Facility: Waste Management Buffalo Ridge Landfill

Volume of E&P Waste (liquid) in barrels 0

E&P waste (liquid) description _____

ECMC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable: _____

Non-ECMC Disposal Facility: _____

REMEDIATION COMPLETION REPORT

REMEDIATION COMPLETION SUMMARY

Is this a Final Closure Request for this Remediation Project? No

If YES:

- Compliant with Rule 913.h.(1).
- Compliant with Rule 913.h.(2).
- Compliant with Rule 913.h.(3).

Do all soils meet Table 915-1 standards? _____

Does the previous reply indicate consideration of background concentrations? _____

Does Groundwater meet Table 915-1 standards? _____

Is additional groundwater monitoring to be conducted? _____

Operator shall comply with the ECMC 1000-Series Reclamation Requirements for all impacted and disturbed areas.

RECLAMATION PLAN

RECLAMATION PLANNING

Describe reclamation plan. Discuss existing and new grade recontouring; method and testing of compaction alleviation; and reseeding program, including location of new seed, seed mix and noxious weed prevention. Attach diagram or drawing.

Reclamation will be in accordance with COGCC 1000 Series Rules.

Is the described reclamation complete? No _____

Does the reclamation described herein constitute interim or final reclamation of the Oil and Gas Location?

Interim

Final

Did the Surface Owner provide the seed mix? _____

If YES, does the seed mix comply with local soil conservation district recommendations? _____

Did the local soil conservation district provide the seed mix? _____

SITE RECLAMATION DATES

Proposed date of commencement of Reclamation. 04/29/2025

Proposed date of completion of Reclamation. 01/30/2027

IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

Per Rule 913.d.(2): Any change from the approved implementation schedule will be requested at least 14 days in advance, and the Operator may not make the change without the Director's approval.

PRIOR DATES

Date of Surface Owner notification/consultation, if required. 08/08/2022

Actual Spill or Release date, or date of discovery. 03/24/2023

SITE INVESTIGATION DATES

Date of Initial Actions described in Site Investigation Plan (start date). 12/06/2022

Proposed site investigation commencement. 04/19/2023

Proposed completion of site investigation. 01/08/2024

REMEDIAL ACTION DATES

Proposed start date of Remediation. 01/08/2024

Proposed date of completion of Remediation. 04/29/2025

Per Rule 913.d.(2): Any change from the approved implementation schedule will be requested at least 14 days in advance, and the Operator may not make the change without the Director's approval.

Change from approved implementation schedule per Rule 913.d.(2).

Basis for change in implementation schedule:

The implementation schedule has been updated to include a Proposed date of completion of Remediation of April 29, 2025.

OPERATOR COMMENT

This Form 27 is being submitted to maintain quarterly reporting compliance during the First Quarter 2025 at the Hanscome C2 flowline. In accordance with the COA associated with denied Form 27 document #403698643, Noble will resubmit a No Further Action (NFA) request to include only encrypted versions of the laboratory analytical reports on the next Form 27. Additionally, Noble will complete a thorough review to verify that all criteria for NFA have been achieved. Quarterly reporting will continue until closure of the remediation project.

I hereby certify all statements made in this form are to the best of my knowledge true, correct, and complete.

Signed: Allan Engelhardt

Title: Environmental Consultant

Submit Date: 01/31/2025

Email: tas-chevron-3@tasman-geo.com

Based on the information provided herein, this Application for Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan complies with ECMC Rules and applicable orders and is hereby approved.

ECMC Approved: Kyle Waggoner

Date: 03/24/2025

Remediation Project Number: 25156

COA Type**Description**

	Document number: 403698643 was denied on 10/7/24. Operator has had ample time to conduct a thorough review of the project and provide encrypted laboratory reports. Operator shall provide the results of that review and all available site data.
1 COA	

ATTACHMENT LIST

Upon approval, the approved Form 27 and all listed attachments will be indexed to the Remediation Project file. Only the approved Form 27 will also be indexed to the related Facilities.

Att Doc Num**Name**

404076561	FORM 27-SUPPLEMENTAL-SUBMITTED
-----------	--------------------------------

Total Attach: 1 Files

General Comments**User Group****Comment****Comment Date**

		Stamp Upon Approval
--	--	---------------------

Total: 0 comment(s)