

State of Colorado  
Energy & Carbon Management Commission1120 Lincoln Street, Suite 801, Denver, Colorado 80203  
Phone: (303) 894-2100 Fax: (303) 894-2109

Document Number:

403947356

Receive Date:

Report taken by:

## Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan (Supplemental Form)

This form shall be submitted to the Director for approval prior to the initiation of site investigation and remediation activities. However, this shall not preclude the Operator from taking immediate action to protect public health or safety, the environment, wildlife, or livestock.

This Form 27 describes site conditions as currently understood by the Operator; approval of this Form 27 by ECMC is based on the site conditions accurately described herein; any changes in site conditions identified during or subsequent to the performance of the approved workplan may necessitate additional investigation or remediation which shall be described on a supplemental Form 27. This Form 27 is intended to provide basic information regarding the proposed site investigation and remediation actions, but the workplan may be more fully described in attached documentation.

Closure request is not available for an Initial Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan.

## OPERATOR INFORMATION

Name of Operator: KERR MCGEE OIL & GAS ONSHORE LP	Operator No: 47120	Phone Numbers
Address: P O BOX 173779		Phone: (720) 929-4306
City: DENVER	State: CO	Zip: 80217-3779
Contact Person: Erik Mickelson	Email: DJRemediation_Forms@oxy.com	Mobile: ( )

## PROJECT, PURPOSE &amp; SITE INFORMATION

## PROJECT INFORMATION

Remediation Project #: 31054 Initial Form 27 Document #: 403470119

## PURPOSE INFORMATION

- ☐ Rule 913.c.(1): Pit or Cuttings Trench closure.
- ☐ Rule 913.c.(2): Buried or partially buried vessel closure, which will be by removal.
- ☐ Rule 913.c.(3): Remediation of Spill and Releases pursuant to Rule 912.
- ☐ Rule 913.c.(4): Land treatment of Oily Waste pursuant to Rule 905.e.
- ☐ Rule 913.c.(5): Closure of Centralized E&P Waste Management Facilities pursuant to Rule 907.h.
- ☐ Rule 913.c.(6): Remediation of impacted Groundwater pursuant to Rule 915.e.(3).D, and the contaminant concentrations in Table 915-1.
- ☐ Rule 913.c.(7): Investigation and remediation of natural gas in soil or Groundwater.
- ☐ Rule 913.c.(8): When requested by the Director due to any potential risk to soil, Groundwater, or surface water.
- ☒ Rule 913.c.(9): Decommissioning of Oil and Gas Facilities.
- ☐ Rule 913.g: Changes of Operator.
- ☐ Rule 915.b: Request to leave elevated inorganics in situ.
- ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

## SITE INFORMATION

No Multiple Facilities

Facility Type: WELL	Facility ID: _____	API #: 123-27336	County Name: WELD
Facility Name: RADEMACHER 32-30		Latitude: 40.195542	Longitude: -104.938929
** correct Lat/Long if needed: Latitude: _____		Longitude: _____	
QtrQtr: NWSW	Sec: 30	Twp: 3N	Range: 67W
Meridian: 6	Sensitive Area? Yes		

## SITE CONDITIONS

General soil type - USCS Classifications ML

Most Sensitive Adjacent Land Use Surface Water

Is domestic water well within 1/4 mile? No

Is surface water within 1/4 mile? Yes

Is groundwater less than 20 feet below ground surface? Yes

## Other Potential Receptors within 1/4 mile

Agriculture surrounding. Retention pond 490 feet (ft) east of the wellhead. Residential buildings 440 ft northwest, 650 ft northeast, and 980 ft south. State highway 780 ft west. An area with wetland characteristics is located approximately 400 feet east of the wellhead.

## SITE INVESTIGATION PLAN

### TYPE OF WASTE:

☒ E&P Waste ☐ Other E&P Waste ☐ Non-E&P Waste

☒ Produced Water ☐ Workover Fluids

☒ Oil ☐ Tank Bottoms

☒ Condensate ☐ Pigging Waste

☐ Drilling Fluids ☐ Rig Wash

☐ Drill Cuttings ☐ Spent Filters

☐ Pit Bottoms

☐ Other (as described by EPA)

### DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT

Impacted?	Impacted Media	Extent of Impact	How Determined
UNDETERMINED	GROUNDWATER	TBD	Groundwater Samples/Laboratory Analytical Results
UNDETERMINED	SOILS	TBD	Soil Samples/Laboratory Analytical Results

### INITIAL ACTION SUMMARY

Description of initial action or emergency response measures take to abate, investigate, and/or remediate impacts associated with E&P Waste.

Wellhead cut and cap operations were completed at the Rademacher 32-30 wellhead on December 28, 2023. Groundwater was not encountered in the wellhead cut and cap excavation. Visual inspection and field screening of soils around the wellhead and associated pumping equipment was conducted following cut and cap operations, and a soil sample (B01@6') was submitted for analysis of full list EPMC Table 915-1 constituents to determine if a release occurred. Initial analytical results indicated that pH, electrical conductivity (EC), and barium concentrations exceeding Table 915-1 allowable levels and/or background levels were present at the B01@6' location. A verification sample was collected to confirm the initial results. Final analytical results indicated the soil was in full compliance with Table 915-1 standards or within 1.25 times site-specific backgrounds for metals. A portion of the flowline associated with the wellhead was removed on December 28, 2023, and January 2, 2024, and soil samples were collected from the locations where the flowline risers were disconnected from the wellhead (WH01-RISER@3') and from the separator (SEP01-RISER@4'), and where the flowline was temporarily cut and capped (FL01@4'). The samples were submitted for laboratory analysis of full list Table 915-1 constituents to determine if a release occurred. The wellhead excavation and flowline are depicted on Figures 1 and 2. The PID readings and soil sample results are summarized in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. The Form 44 is attached.

Additional flowline removal activities are pending harvest. Per the condition of approval (COA) issued by the EPMC on May 9, 2024, flowline samples will be collected where the line changes directions; however, the NWI wetland map shows a nearby wetland to the northeast and the line does not cross this location. The line also does not cross an unnamed drainage. Results will be summarized in a subsequent Form 27 Supplemental report.

### PROPOSED SAMPLING PLAN

#### Proposed Soil Sampling

☒ Will soil samples be collected as part of this investigation? ( Number, type (grab/composite), analyses, and locations of samples ):

Between December 28, 2023, & January 2, 2024, soil samples were collected from the base of the cut & cap excavation (B01@6'), from the locations where the flowline risers were disconnected from the wellhead (WH01-RISER@3') and from the separator (SEP01-RISER@4'), and where the flowline was temporarily cut and capped (FL01@4'). The samples were submitted for laboratory analysis of full list EPMC Table 915-1 constituents, using EPMC-approved methods. Initial analytical results indicated that pH, EC, and barium concentrations exceeding Table 915-1 allowable levels &/or background levels were present at the B01@6' location. A verification sample was collected to confirm the initial results. Final analytical results indicated the soil was in full compliance with Table 915-1 standards &/or within the analytical variability of site-specific backgrounds. The wellhead excavation & flowline are depicted on Figures 1 & 2. The lab reports are attached.

#### Proposed Groundwater Sampling

☐ Will groundwater samples be collected as part of this investigation? ( Number, analyses, and locations of samples ):

Groundwater was not encountered during wellhead cut and cap operations.

#### Proposed Surface Water Sampling

☐ Will surface water samples be collected as part of this investigation? ( Number, analyses, and locations of samples ):

## Additional Investigative Actions

☐ Additional alternative investigative actions described in attached Site Investigation Plan ( summary ):

On December 28, 2023, visual inspection and field screening of soils were conducted at four sidewall locations within the cut and cap excavation area and four locations at the ground surface adjacent to the cut and cap excavation. Based on the inspection and screening results, hydrocarbon-impacted soil was not observed at the screening locations, and no soil samples were submitted for laboratory analysis from these areas, in accordance with the ECOM Operator Guidance. A photographic log is attached.

On January 3, 2024, a soil gas survey was conducted at 5 soil vapor points installed adjacent to the former wellhead locations following cut and cap operations. GEM 5000 field readings were all non-detect for methane at all soil vapor points. The soil vapor point locations are illustrated on Figure 1. The soil vapor field form is included as an attachment.

## SITE INVESTIGATION REPORT

### SAMPLE SUMMARY

#### Soil

Number of soil samples collected 5

Number of soil samples exceeding 915-1 5

Was the areal and vertical extent of soil contamination delineated? No

Approximate areal extent (square feet) 0

#### NA / ND

ND Highest concentration of TPH (mg/kg)

-- Highest concentration of SAR 1.85

BTEX > 915-1 No

Vertical Extent > 915-1 (in feet) 0

#### Groundwater

Number of groundwater samples collected 0

Was extent of groundwater contaminated delineated? No

Depth to groundwater (below ground surface, in feet)

Number of groundwater monitoring wells installed

Number of groundwater samples exceeding 915-1

Highest concentration of Benzene (µg/l)

Highest concentration of Toluene (µg/l)

Highest concentration of Ethylbenzene (µg/l)

Highest concentration of Xylene (µg/l)

Highest concentration of Methane (mg/l)

#### Surface Water

0 Number of surface water samples collected

Number of surface water samples exceeding 915-1

If surface water is impacted, other agency notification may be required.

### OTHER INVESTIGATION INFORMATION

☐ Were impacts to adjacent property or offsite impacts identified?

☒ Were background samples collected as part of this site investigation?

Eight background soil samples (NATIVE-BG01@3' through NATIVE-BG04@3' and NATIVE-BG01@6' through NATIVE-BG04@6') were collected from native material adjacent to the wellhead cut and cap excavation. The background soil samples were submitted for laboratory analysis of pH, EC, sodium adsorption ratio (SAR), boron, and ECOM Table 915-1 metals, using ECOM-approved methods. Results indicate that pH, arsenic, barium, and selenium are naturally high in the native soil. Results from the background soil samples are presented in Table 2. Background sample locations are depicted on Figure 1.

☐ Was investigation derived waste (IDW) generated as part of this investigation?

Volume of solid waste (cubic yards)

Volume of liquid waste (barrels)

☒ Is further site investigation required?

Additional flowline removal activities are pending harvest. Per the COA issued by the ECOM on May 9, 2024, flowline samples will be collected where the line changes directions. Results will be summarized in a subsequent Form 27 Supplemental report.

## REMEDIAL ACTION PLAN

Does this Supplemental Form 27A include changes to a previously approved Remedial Action Plan? No

## **SOURCE REMOVAL SUMMARY**

Describe how source is to be removed.

Laboratory analytical results indicate that full list Table 915-1 constituent concentrations in the soil samples collected from the cut and cap excavation (B01@6'), from the locations of the flowline risers (WH01-RISER@3' and SEP01-RISER@4'), and flowline pothole (FL01@4') were in compliance with the ECMC Table 915-1 standards or within 1.25 times site-specific background levels for metals; therefore, no soil was removed from the site during wellhead cut and cap or the partial flowline removal activities. The excavation areas have been backfilled and contoured to match pre-existing site conditions.

## **REMEDIATION SUMMARY**

Describe how remediation of existing impacts to soil and groundwater is to be accomplished (i.e. summarize remedial action plan). Provide a brief narrative description including: technical justification, schedule for implementation, estimated time to attain NFA status, plus plans and specifications for the selected remedial action technology.

Laboratory analytical results indicate that full list Table 915-1 constituent concentrations in the soil samples collected from the cut and cap excavation (B01@6'), from the locations of the flowline risers (WH01-RISER@3' and SEP01-RISER@4'), and flowline pothole (FL01@4') were in compliance with the ECMC Table 915-1 standards or within 1.25 times site-specific background levels for metals. Groundwater was not encountered in the wellhead cut and cap. Additional flowline removal activities are pending harvest. Per the COA issued by the ECMC on May 9, 2024, flowline samples will be collected where the line changes directions,. Results will be summarized in a subsequent Form 27 Supplemental report.

## **Soil Remediation Summary**

☐ In Situ

☐ Ex Situ

\_\_\_\_\_ Bioremediation ( or enhanced bioremediation )

\_\_\_\_\_ Excavate and offsite disposal

\_\_\_\_\_ Chemical oxidation

\_\_\_\_\_ If Yes: Estimated Volume (Cubic Yards) \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction

\_\_\_\_\_ Name of Licensed Disposal Facility or ECMC Facility ID # \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ Natural Attenuation

\_\_\_\_\_ Excavate and onsite remediation

\_\_\_\_\_ Other \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ Land Treatment

\_\_\_\_\_ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)

\_\_\_\_\_ Chemical oxidation

\_\_\_\_\_ Other \_\_\_\_\_

## **Groundwater Remediation Summary**

\_\_\_\_\_ Bioremediation ( or enhanced bioremediation )

\_\_\_\_\_ Chemical oxidation

\_\_\_\_\_ Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction

\_\_\_\_\_ Natural Attenuation

\_\_\_\_\_ Other \_\_\_\_\_

## **GROUNDWATER MONITORING**

If groundwater has been impacted, describe proposed monitoring plan, including # of wells or sample points, monitoring schedule, analytical methods, points of compliance. Attach a groundwater monitoring location diagram.

## REMEDIATION PROGRESS UPDATE

### PERIODIC REPORTING

#### Approved Reporting Schedule:

☒ Quarterly☐ Semi-Annually☐ Annually☐ Other

#### ☐ Request Alternative Reporting Schedule:

☐ Semi-Annually☐ Annually☐ Other

Rule 913.e:

After initial approval of a Form 27, the Operator will provide quarterly update reports in a Supplemental Form 27 to document progress of site investigation and remediation, unless an alternative reporting schedule has been requested by the Operator and approved by the Director. The Director may request a more frequent reporting schedule based on site-specific conditions.

#### Report Type:

☐ Groundwater Monitoring☐ Land Treatment Progress Report☐ O&M Report☐ Other

### Adequacy of Operator's General Liability Insurance and Financial Assurance

Describe the adequacy of the Operator's general liability insurance and Financial Assurance to fully address the anticipated costs of Remediation, including the estimated remaining cost for this project (below).

If this information has been provided on a Form 27 within the last 12 months, provide the Document Number of that form.

KMOG has sufficient insurance and bonding to fully address the anticipated costs of Remediation, including the remaining estimated costs for this project. KMOG currently has over 40 million in bonds with the Energy and Carbon Management Commission. The cost for remediation is a preliminary estimate only, costs may change upwards or downward based on site-specific information. KMOG makes no representation or guarantees as to the accuracy of the preliminary estimate.

Operator anticipates the remaining cost for this project to be: \$ 11500

### WASTE DISPOSAL INFORMATION

Was E&P waste generated as part of this remediation? ☐

Describe beneficial use, if any, of E&P Waste derived from this remediation project:

Volume of E&P Waste (solid) in cubic yards

E&P waste (solid) description

ECMC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable:

Non-ECMC Disposal Facility:

Volume of E&P Waste (liquid) in barrels

E&P waste (liquid) description

ECMC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable:

Non-ECMC Disposal Facility:

## REMEDIATION COMPLETION REPORT

### REMEDIATION COMPLETION SUMMARY

Is this a Final Closure Request for this Remediation Project? No ☐

If YES:

☐ Compliant with Rule 913.h.(1).☐ Compliant with Rule 913.h.(2).☐ Compliant with Rule 913.h.(3).

Do all soils meet Table 915-1 standards? ☐

Does the previous reply indicate consideration of background concentrations? ☐

Does Groundwater meet Table 915-1 standards? \_\_\_\_\_

Is additional groundwater monitoring to be conducted? \_\_\_\_\_

Operator shall comply with the ECMC 1000-Series Reclamation Requirements for all impacted and disturbed areas.

## RECLAMATION PLAN

### **RECLAMATION PLANNING**

Describe reclamation plan. Discuss existing and new grade recontouring; method and testing of compaction alleviation; and reseeding program, including location of new seed, seed mix and noxious weed prevention. Attach diagram or drawing.

The site will be reclaimed in accordance with ECMC 1000 Series Reclamation Rules.

Is the described reclamation complete? \_\_\_\_\_

Does the reclamation described herein constitute interim or final reclamation of the Oil and Gas Location?

☐ Interim

☐ Final

Did the Surface Owner provide the seed mix? \_\_\_\_\_

If YES, does the seed mix comply with local soil conservation district recommendations? \_\_\_\_\_

Did the local soil conservation district provide the seed mix? \_\_\_\_\_

### **SITE RECLAMATION DATES**

Proposed date of commencement of Reclamation. \_\_\_\_\_

Proposed date of completion of Reclamation. \_\_\_\_\_

## IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

Per Rule 913.d.(2): Any change from the approved implementation schedule will be requested at least 14 days in advance, and the Operator may not make the change without the Director's approval.

### **PRIOR DATES**

Date of Surface Owner notification/consultation, if required. 07/26/2023

Actual Spill or Release date, or date of discovery. \_\_\_\_\_

### **SITE INVESTIGATION DATES**

Date of Initial Actions described in Site Investigation Plan (start date). 12/28/2023

Proposed site investigation commencement. 12/28/2023

Proposed completion of site investigation. 04/05/2025

### **REMEDIAL ACTION DATES**

Proposed start date of Remediation. \_\_\_\_\_

Proposed date of completion of Remediation. \_\_\_\_\_

Per Rule 913.d.(2): Any change from the approved implementation schedule will be requested at least 14 days in advance, and the Operator may not make the change without the Director's approval.

☐ Change from approved implementation schedule per Rule 913.d.(2).

Basis for change in implementation schedule:

**OPERATOR COMMENT**

No additional work has been done since the previous Form 27 and, as such, none of the previous attachments have been included with this form. The implementation schedule has been updated. Additional flowline removal activities are pending harvest.

I hereby certify all statements made in this form are to the best of my knowledge true, correct, and complete.

Signed: Erik Mickelson

Title: Environmental Lead

Submit Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Email: DJRemediation\_Forms@oxy.com

Based on the information provided herein, this Application for Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan complies with ECMC Rules and applicable orders and is hereby approved.

ECMC Approved: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Remediation Project Number: 31054

**COA Type****Description**

0 COA	

**ATTACHMENT LIST**

Upon approval, the approved Form 27 and all listed attachments will be indexed to the Remediation Project file. Only the approved Form 27 will also be indexed to the related Facilities.

**Att Doc Num****Name**

--	--

Total Attach: 0 Files

**General Comments****User Group****Comment****Comment Date**

		Stamp Upon Approval
--	--	---------------------

Total: 0 comment(s)