

State of Colorado
Energy & Carbon Management Commission

1120 Lincoln Street, Suite 801, Denver, Colorado 80203

Phone: (303) 894-2100 Fax: (303) 894-2109



Document Number:

403898963

Receive Date:

Report taken by:

Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan (Supplemental Form)

This form shall be submitted to the Director for approval prior to the initiation of site investigation and remediation activities. However, this shall not preclude the Operator from taking immediate action to protect public health or safety, the environment, wildlife, or livestock.

This Form 27 describes site conditions as currently understood by the Operator; approval of this Form 27 by ECMC is based on the site conditions accurately described herein; any changes in site conditions identified during or subsequent to the performance of the approved workplan may necessitate additional investigation or remediation which shall be described on a supplemental Form 27. This Form 27 is intended to provide basic information regarding the proposed site investigation and remediation actions, but the workplan may be more fully described in attached documentation.

Closure request is not available for an Initial Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan.

OPERATOR INFORMATION

| | | |
|---|--------------------|------------------------|
| Name of Operator: TALLGRASS WATER WESTERN LLC | Operator No: 10608 | Phone Numbers |
| Address: 370 VAN GORDON STREET | | Phone: (316) 322-3514 |
| City: LAKEWOOD | State: CO | Zip: 80228 |
| Contact Person: Scott Yount | | Mobile: (316) 322-3514 |
| Email: scott.yount@tallgrass.com | | |

PROJECT, PURPOSE & SITE INFORMATION

PROJECT INFORMATION

Remediation Project #: 36100 Initial Form 27 Document #: 403844188

PURPOSE INFORMATION

- ☐ Rule 913.c.(1): Pit or Cuttings Trench closure.
- ☐ Rule 913.c.(2): Buried or partially buried vessel closure, which will be by removal.
- ☒ Rule 913.c.(3): Remediation of Spill and Releases pursuant to Rule 912.
- ☐ Rule 913.c.(4): Land treatment of Oily Waste pursuant to Rule 905.e.
- ☐ Rule 913.c.(5): Closure of Centralized E&P Waste Management Facilities pursuant to Rule 907.h.
- ☐ Rule 913.c.(6): Remediation of impacted Groundwater pursuant to Rule 915.e.(3).D, and the contaminant concentrations in Table 915-1.
- ☐ Rule 913.c.(7): Investigation and remediation of natural gas in soil or Groundwater.
- ☐ Rule 913.c.(8): When requested by the Director due to any potential risk to soil, Groundwater, or surface water.
- ☐ Rule 913.c.(9): Decommissioning of Oil and Gas Facilities.
- ☐ Rule 913.g: Changes of Operator.
- ☐ Rule 915.b: Request to leave elevated inorganics in situ.
- ☐ Other: _____

SITE INFORMATION

No Multiple Facilities

| | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|--|------------------------|
| Facility Type: SPILL OR RELEASE | Facility ID: 486406 | API #: _____ | County Name: WELD |
| Facility Name: SPILL/RELEASE POINT | | Latitude: 40.804528 | Longitude: -103.811806 |
| | | ** correct Lat/Long if needed: Latitude: _____ | Longitude: _____ |
| QtrQtr: SWSE | Sec: 25 | Twp: 10N | Range: 58W |
| Meridian: 6 | | Sensitive Area? No | |

SITE CONDITIONS

General soil type - USCS Classifications SC

Most Sensitive Adjacent Land Use None

Is domestic water well within 1/4 mile? No

Is surface water within 1/4 mile? No

Is groundwater less than 20 feet below ground surface? No

SITE INVESTIGATION PLAN

TYPE OF WASTE:☒ E&P Waste ☐ Other E&P Waste ☐ Non-E&P Waste☒ Produced Water☐ Workover Fluids☐ Oil☐ Tank Bottoms☐ Condensate☐ Pigging Waste☐ Drilling Fluids☐ Rig Wash☐ Drill Cuttings☐ Spent Filters☐ Pit Bottoms☐ Other (as described by EPA)**DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT**

| Impacted? | Impacted Media | Extent of Impact | How Determined |
|-----------|----------------|------------------|-------------------------|
| Yes | SOILS | 85' by 45' | visible impacts to soil |

INITIAL ACTION SUMMARY

Description of initial action or emergency response measures take to abate, investigate, and/or remediate impacts associated with E&P Waste.

The line was shut in to prevent the release of additional brine water. The line has been exposed and it appears that the release was due to the failure of a fitting on the pipeline riser. Tallgrass estimates that approximately 75 barrels of brine water was released, approximately 10 barrels of brine water was recovered.

PROPOSED SAMPLING PLAN**Proposed Soil Sampling**

☒ Will soil samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, type (grab/composite), analyses, and locations of samples):

During the initial spill response, one waste characterization sample was submitted for analysis of all contaminants of concern listed in Table 915-1. Four background samples were collected at two locations at two feet bgs and five feet bgs. Based on the waste characterization sample results, Tallgrass requested an amended analysis of contaminants of concern which was approved by the COGCC. A map of soil sample locations will be provided in subsequent Form 27 submittals.

Proposed Groundwater Sampling

☐ Will groundwater samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, analyses, and locations of samples):

Proposed Surface Water Sampling

☐ Will surface water samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, analyses, and locations of samples):

Additional Investigative Actions

☐ Additional alternative investigative actions described in attached Site Investigation Plan (summary):

SITE INVESTIGATION REPORT

SAMPLE SUMMARY

Soil

Number of soil samples collected 33
Number of soil samples exceeding 915-1 20
Was the areal and vertical extent of soil contamination delineated? No
Approximate areal extent (square feet) 3507

NA / ND

ND Highest concentration of TPH (mg/kg) _____
-- Highest concentration of SAR 43.2
BTEX > 915-1 No
Vertical Extent > 915-1 (in feet) 6

Groundwater

Number of groundwater samples collected 0
Was extent of groundwater contaminated delineated? No
Depth to groundwater (below ground surface, in feet) _____
Number of groundwater monitoring wells installed _____
Number of groundwater samples exceeding 915-1 _____

ND Highest concentration of Benzene (µg/l) _____
ND Highest concentration of Toluene (µg/l) _____
ND Highest concentration of Ethylbenzene (µg/l) _____
ND Highest concentration of Xylene (µg/l) _____
ND Highest concentration of Methane (mg/l) _____

Surface Water

0 Number of surface water samples collected
____ Number of surface water samples exceeding 915-1
If surface water is impacted, other agency notification may be required.

OTHER INVESTIGATION INFORMATION

☐ Were impacts to adjacent property or offsite impacts identified?

☒ Were background samples collected as part of this site investigation?

Four background samples were collected at two locations at two and five feet bgs.

☐ Was investigation derived waste (IDW) generated as part of this investigation?

Volume of solid waste (cubic yards) _____ Volume of liquid waste (barrels) _____

☐ Is further site investigation required?

REMEDIAL ACTION PLAN

Does this Supplemental Form 27A include changes to a previously approved Remedial Action Plan? No

SOURCE REMOVAL SUMMARY

Describe how source is to be removed.

Repairs have been made to the fitting associated with the pipe riser have been made

REMEDIATION SUMMARY

Describe how remediation of existing impacts to soil and groundwater is to be accomplished (i.e. summarize remedial action plan). Provide a brief narrative description including: technical justification, schedule for implementation, estimated time to attain NFA status, plus plans and specifications for the selected remedial action technology.

Initial remediation of the site consisted of the removal of visibly impacted soil. Discrete soil confirmation were collected from the excavated area in accordance with the COGCC excavation guidance document and compared to the approved reduction of Table 915-1 contaminants of concerned. Lab data indicates that additional soil removal is required. Removal of impacted soil will continue until confirmation soil samples indicate that cleanup levels have been achieved. Implementation of the excavation of impacted soil is in progress, determining obtaining NRA status is difficult due to delays in receiving lab data and the presence of several third-party pipelines in the excavated area.

Soil Remediation Summary

☐ In Situ

☒ Ex Situ

☐ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)
☐ Chemical oxidation
☐ Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction
☐ Natural Attenuation
☐ Other _____

☐ Yes Excavate and offsite disposal
If Yes: Estimated Volume (Cubic Yards)
Name of Licensed Disposal Facility or ECMC Facility ID # _____
☐ No Excavate and onsite remediation
☐ Land Treatment
☐ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)
☐ Chemical oxidation
☐ Other _____

Groundwater Remediation Summary

☐ No Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)
☐ No Chemical oxidation
☐ No Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction
☐ No Natural Attenuation
☐ No Other _____

GROUNDWATER MONITORING

If groundwater has been impacted, describe proposed monitoring plan, including # of wells or sample points, monitoring schedule, analytical methods, points of compliance. Attach a groundwater monitoring location diagram.

REMEDIATION PROGRESS UPDATE

PERIODIC REPORTING

Approved Reporting Schedule:

☒ Quarterly☐ Semi-Annually☐ Annually☐ Other

☐ Request Alternative Reporting Schedule:

☐ Semi-Annually☐ Annually☐ Other

Rule 913.e:

After initial approval of a Form 27, the Operator will provide quarterly update reports in a Supplemental Form 27 to document progress of site investigation and remediation, unless an alternative reporting schedule has been requested by the Operator and approved by the Director. The Director may request a more frequent reporting schedule based on site-specific conditions.

Report Type:

☐ Groundwater Monitoring☐ Land Treatment Progress Report☐ O&M Report☒ Other Removal of impacted soil

Adequacy of Operator's General Liability Insurance and Financial Assurance

Describe the adequacy of the Operator's general liability insurance and Financial Assurance to fully address the anticipated costs of Remediation, including the estimated remaining cost for this project (below).

If this information has been provided on a Form 27 within the last 12 months, provide the Document Number of that form.

We have \$35M in General Liability limits with a self-insured retention of \$2,000,000. The current estimate for complete remediation of this project is well within our self-insured retention, currently estimated around \$200,000. Our net income last year was over \$540,000,000 we have the financial ability to cover the remediation costs

Operator anticipates the remaining cost for this project to be: \$

WASTE DISPOSAL INFORMATION

Was E&P waste generated as part of this remediation? Yes

Describe beneficial use, if any, of E&P Waste derived from this remediation project:

None

Volume of E&P Waste (solid) in cubic yards 2300

E&P waste (solid) description Produced water impacted soil

ECMC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable:

Non-ECMC Disposal Facility: Pawnee Waste LLC

Volume of E&P Waste (liquid) in barrels 0

E&P waste (liquid) description

ECMC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable:

Non-ECMC Disposal Facility:

REMEDIATION COMPLETION REPORT

REMEDIATION COMPLETION SUMMARY

Is this a Final Closure Request for this Remediation Project? Yes

If YES:

☒ Compliant with Rule 913.h.(1).☐ Compliant with Rule 913.h.(2).☐ Compliant with Rule 913.h.(3).

Do all soils meet Table 915-1 standards? Yes

Does the previous reply indicate consideration of background concentrations? Yes

Does Groundwater meet Table 915-1 standards? Yes

Is additional groundwater monitoring to be conducted? _____

Operator shall comply with the ECMC 1000-Series Reclamation Requirements for all impacted and disturbed areas.

RECLAMATION PLAN

RECLAMATION PLANNING

Describe reclamation plan. Discuss existing and new grade recontouring; method and testing of compaction alleviation; and reseeding program, including location of new seed, seed mix and noxious weed prevention. Attach diagram or drawing.

Reclamation will be conducted in accordance with COGCC 1004 Series Rules. Will work with landowner to ensure the chose of seed mix is acceptable

Is the described reclamation complete? No

Does the reclamation described herein constitute interim or final reclamation of the Oil and Gas Location?

☐ Interim

☐ Final

Did the Surface Owner provide the seed mix? _____

If YES, does the seed mix comply with local soil conservation district recommendations? _____

Did the local soil conservation district provide the seed mix? _____

SITE RECLAMATION DATES

Proposed date of commencement of Reclamation. _____

Proposed date of completion of Reclamation. _____

IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

Per Rule 913.d.(2): Any change from the approved implementation schedule will be requested at least 14 days in advance, and the Operator may not make the change without the Director's approval.

PRIOR DATES

Date of Surface Owner notification/consultation, if required. 04/08/2024

Actual Spill or Release date, or date of discovery. 04/08/2024

SITE INVESTIGATION DATES

Date of Initial Actions described in Site Investigation Plan (start date). 04/10/2024

Proposed site investigation commencement. 04/16/2024

Proposed completion of site investigation. 08/08/2024

REMEDIAL ACTION DATES

Proposed start date of Remediation. 04/10/2024

Proposed date of completion of Remediation. 08/08/2024

Per Rule 913.d.(2): Any change from the approved implementation schedule will be requested at least 14 days in advance, and the Operator may not make the change without the Director's approval.

☐ Change from approved implementation schedule per Rule 913.d.(2).

Basis for change in implementation schedule:

OPERATOR COMMENT

Laboratory analytical results for confirmation grab soil samples SS15@3, SS16@4, SS17@4, SS18@4, SS19@4, SS20@3, and SS22@6 reported concentrations above Table 915-1 Residential Soil Screening Limits and established site-specific background limits for SAR, or pH, and/or arsenic. Since these results represented the only exceedances reported at these sample locations, and the reported analytical results were minimally above regulatory limits, the soil samples were requested to be re-analyzed by the laboratory. Original results and the rerun results are displayed in the report and summary tables, rerun results are denoted with the suffix 'RE'. Based on these investigation results, the elevated SAR, or pH, and/or arsenic at soil sample SS15@3, SS16@4, SS17@4, SS18@4, SS19@4, SS20@3, and SS22@6 appear to be de minimus in quantity or within the range of background levels. Tallgrass requests no further action determination for Remediation Project Number 36100 and Spill/Release ID 486406.

I hereby certify all statements made in this form are to the best of my knowledge true, correct, and complete.

Signed: Scott Yount

Title: Sr. EHS Specialist

Submit Date: _____

Email: scott.yount@tallgrass.com

Based on the information provided herein, this Application for Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan complies with ECMC Rules and applicable orders and is hereby approved.

ECMC Approved: _____

Date: _____

Remediation Project Number: 36100

COA Type**Description**

| | |
|-------|--|
| | |
| 0 COA | |

ATTACHMENT LIST

Upon approval, the approved Form 27 and all listed attachments will be indexed to the Remediation Project file. Only the approved Form 27 will also be indexed to the related Facilities.

Att Doc Num**Name**

| | |
|-----------|--------------------------|
| 403899015 | SITE MAP |
| 403899018 | SOIL SAMPLE LOCATION MAP |
| 403899019 | ANALYTICAL RESULTS |
| 403899020 | PHOTO DOCUMENTATION |
| 403899024 | OTHER |

Total Attach: 5 Files

General Comments**User Group****Comment****Comment Date**

| | | |
|--|--|------------------------|
| | | Stamp Upon Approval |
|--|--|------------------------|

Total: 0 comment(s)