

State of Colorado
Energy & Carbon Management Commission

1120 Lincoln Street, Suite 801, Denver, Colorado 80203
Phone: (303) 894-2100 Fax: (303) 894-2109



Document Number:
403575777

Receive Date:

Report taken by:

Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan (Supplemental Form)

This form shall be submitted to the Director for approval prior to the initiation of site investigation and remediation activities. However, this shall not preclude the Operator from taking immediate action to protect public health or safety, the environment, wildlife, or livestock.

This Form 27 describes site conditions as currently understood by the Operator; approval of this Form 27 by COGCC is based on the site conditions accurately described herein; any changes in site conditions identified during or subsequent to the performance of the approved workplan may necessitate additional investigation or remediation which shall be described on a supplemental Form 27.

This Form 27 is intended to provide basic information regarding the proposed site investigation and remediation actions, but the workplan may be more fully described in attached documentation.

Closure request is not available for an Initial Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan.

OPERATOR INFORMATION

Name of Operator: <u>NOBLE ENERGY INC</u>	Operator No: <u>100322</u>	Phone Numbers
Address: <u>2001 16TH STREET SUITE 900</u>		Phone: <u>(715) 562-0251</u>
City: <u>DENVER</u> State: <u>CO</u> Zip: <u>80202</u>		Mobile: <u>()</u>
Contact Person: <u>Dan Peterson</u>	Email: <u>rbueuf27@chevron.com</u>	

PROJECT, PURPOSE & SITE INFORMATION

PROJECT INFORMATION

Remediation Project #: 26391 Initial Form 27 Document #: 403260631

PURPOSE INFORMATION

- Rule 913.c.(1): Pit or Cuttings Trench closure.
- Rule 913.c.(2): Buried or partially buried vessel closure, which will be by removal.
- Rule 913.c.(3): Remediation of Spill and Releases pursuant to Rule 912.
- Rule 913.c.(4): Land treatment of Oily Waste pursuant to Rule 905.e.
- Rule 913.c.(5): Closure of Centralized E&P Waste Management Facilities pursuant to Rule 907.h.
- Rule 913.c.(6): Remediation of impacted Groundwater pursuant to Rule 915.e.(3).D, and the contaminant concentrations in Table 915-1.
- Rule 913.c.(7): Investigation and remediation of natural gas in soil or Groundwater.
- Rule 913.c.(8): When requested by the Director due to any potential risk to soil, Groundwater, or surface water.
- Rule 913.c.(9): Decommissioning of Oil and Gas Facilities.
- Rule 913.g: Changes of Operator.
- Rule 915.b: Request to leave elevated inorganics in situ.
- Other: _____

SITE INFORMATION

Yes Multiple Facilities

Facility Type: <u>LOCATION</u>	Facility ID: <u>408040</u>	API #: _____	County Name: <u>WELD</u>
Facility Name: <u>KILDOW C-64N64W 31SWNE</u>	Latitude: <u>40.270673</u>	Longitude: <u>-104.591282</u>	
** correct Lat/Long if needed: Latitude: _____		Longitude: _____	
QtrQtr: <u>SWNE</u>	Sec: <u>31</u>	Twp: <u>4N</u>	Range: <u>64W</u> Meridian: <u>6</u> Sensitive Area? <u>Yes</u>
Facility Type: <u>SPILL OR RELEASE</u>	Facility ID: <u>484348</u>	API #: _____	County Name: <u>WELD</u>
Facility Name: <u>Kildow C 31-7X</u>	Latitude: <u>40.270680</u>	Longitude: <u>-104.591057</u>	
** correct Lat/Long if needed: Latitude: _____		Longitude: _____	
QtrQtr: <u>SWNE</u>	Sec: <u>31</u>	Twp: <u>4N</u>	Range: <u>64W</u> Meridian: <u>6</u> Sensitive Area? <u>Yes</u>

SITE CONDITIONS

General soil type - USCS Classifications SW _____

Most Sensitive Adjacent Land Use Cropland _____

Is domestic water well within 1/4 mile? Yes _____

Is surface water within 1/4 mile? Yes _____

Is groundwater less than 20 feet below ground surface? No _____

Other Potential Receptors within 1/4 mile

Intermittent Riverine Wetlands 55ft E (Neres Canal)
Farm Structures 0.18/0.20 NW, 0.25 NE
Residential 0.18 NW

SITE INVESTIGATION PLAN

TYPE OF WASTE:

- | | | |
|--|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E&P Waste | <input type="checkbox"/> Other E&P Waste | <input type="checkbox"/> Non-E&P Waste |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Produced Water | <input type="checkbox"/> Workover Fluids | _____ |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Oil | <input type="checkbox"/> Tank Bottoms | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Condensate | <input type="checkbox"/> Pigging Waste | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Drilling Fluids | <input type="checkbox"/> Rig Wash | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Drill Cuttings | <input type="checkbox"/> Spent Filters | |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Pit Bottoms | |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (as described by EPA) | _____ |

DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT

Impacted?	Impacted Media	Extent of Impact	How Determined
UNDETERMINED	GROUNDWATER	NA	Lab analysis if encountered
Yes	SOILS	10'x10'x3' bgs	Lab analysis

INITIAL ACTION SUMMARY

Description of initial action or emergency response measures take to abate, investigate, and/or remediate impacts associated with E&P Waste.

A site investigation was conducted pursuant to ECMC Rule 911 at the KILDOW OCONNELL T4N-R64W-S31 L01 Tank Battery location.

PROPOSED SAMPLING PLAN

Proposed Soil Sampling

Will soil samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, type (grab/composite), analyses, and locations of samples):

Grab confirmation soil samples were collected from the produced water vessel(s) excavation, beneath the ground oil tank(s), and at the risers for the flowline(s) and dumpline(s) of any separator(s). Soil samples were analyzed by a certified laboratory for TPH (total volatile [C6-C10] and extractable [C10-C36] hydrocarbons), organic compounds in soil per ECMC Table 915-1, and EC, SAR, pH, and boron. All samples collected were analyzed by a certified laboratory using approved ECMC laboratory analysis methods.

Proposed Groundwater Sampling

Will groundwater samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, analyses, and locations of samples):

If groundwater is encountered during the site investigation a grab groundwater will be collected and analyzed for all organic compounds per ECMC Table 915-1.

Proposed Surface Water Sampling

Will surface water samples be collected as part of this investigation? (Number, analyses, and locations of samples):

Additional Investigative Actions

Additional alternative investigative actions described in attached Site Investigation Plan (summary):

Visual inspection at the tank battery area will occur during abandonment activities. Field personnel will field screen all disturbed areas using visual and olfactory senses to determine if laboratory confirmation sampling is required. The ECMC Tank Battery and Produced Water Vessel Closure Checklists will be utilized and filled out during the abandonment process. A photolog will be submitted on the Subsequent Form 27.

SITE INVESTIGATION REPORT

SAMPLE SUMMARY

Soil	NA / ND
Number of soil samples collected 25	ND Highest concentration of TPH (mg/kg) _____

_____ Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction

_____ Natural Attenuation

_____ Other _____

_____ Name of Licensed Disposal Facility or COGCC Facility ID # _____

_____ Excavate and onsite remediation

_____ Land Treatment

_____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)

_____ Chemical oxidation

_____ Other _____

Groundwater Remediation Summary

_____ Bioremediation (or enhanced bioremediation)

_____ Chemical oxidation

_____ Air sparge / Soil vapor extraction

_____ Natural Attenuation

_____ Other _____

GROUNDWATER MONITORING

If groundwater has been impacted, describe proposed monitoring plan, including # of wells or sample points, monitoring schedule, analytical methods, points of compliance. Attach a groundwater monitoring location diagram.

REMEDIATION PROGRESS UPDATE

PERIODIC REPORTING

Approved Reporting Schedule:

Quarterly Semi-Annually Annually Other

Request Alternative Reporting Schedule:

Semi-Annually Annually Other

Rule 913.e:

After initial approval of a Form 27, the Operator will provide quarterly update reports in a Supplemental Form 27 to document progress of site investigation and remediation, unless an alternative reporting schedule has been requested by the Operator and approved by the Director. The Director may request a more frequent reporting schedule based on site-specific conditions.

Report Type: Groundwater Monitoring Land Treatment Progress Report O&M Report
 Other Site Assessment Report

Adequacy of Operator's General Liability Insurance and Financial Assurance

Describe the adequacy of the Operator's general liability insurance and Financial Assurance to fully address the anticipated costs of Remediation, including the estimated remaining cost for this project (below).
If this information has been provided on a Form 27 within the last 12 months, provide the Document Number of that form.

Noble intends to directly address the costs of remediation at the locations as part of our asset retirement obligation process and operations. Noble has general liability insurance (policy MWZZ 316714) and financial assurance in compliance with ECMC rules. Records are available on the ECMC's website.

Operator anticipates the remaining cost for this project to be: \$ 0

WASTE DISPOSAL INFORMATION

Was E&P waste generated as part of this remediation? No

Describe beneficial use, if any, of E&P Waste derived from this remediation project:

Volume of E&P Waste (solid) in cubic yards _____

E&P waste (solid) description _____

COGCC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable: _____

Non-COGCC Disposal Facility: _____

Volume of E&P Waste (liquid) in barrels _____

E&P waste (liquid) description _____

COGCC Disposal Facility ID #, if applicable: _____

Non-COGCC Disposal Facility: _____

REMEDIATION COMPLETION REPORT

REMEDIATION COMPLETION SUMMARY

Is this a Final Closure Request for this Remediation Project? Yes

If YES:

- Compliant with Rule 913.h.(1).
 Compliant with Rule 913.h.(2).
 Compliant with Rule 913.h.(3).

Do all soils meet Table 915-1 standards? Yes

Does the previous reply indicate consideration of background concentrations? Yes

Does Groundwater meet Table 915-1 standards? Yes

Is additional groundwater monitoring to be conducted? _____

Operator shall comply with the COGCC 1000-Series Reclamation Requirements for all impacted and disturbed areas.

RECLAMATION PLAN

RECLAMATION PLANNING

Describe reclamation plan. Discuss existing and new grade recontouring; method and testing of compaction alleviation; and reseeding program, including location of new seed, seed mix and noxious weed prevention. Attach diagram or drawing.

Reclamation will be in accordance with ECMC 1000 Series Rules.

Is the described reclamation complete? No

Does the reclamation described herein constitute interim or final reclamation of the Oil and Gas Location?

Interim

Final

Did the Surface Owner provide the seed mix? _____

If YES, does the seed mix comply with local soil conservation district recommendations? _____

Did the local soil conservation district provide the seed mix? _____

SITE RECLAMATION DATES

Proposed date of commencement of Reclamation. 04/30/2024

Proposed date of completion of Reclamation. 10/30/2024

IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

Per Rule 913.d.(2): Any change from the approved implementation schedule will be requested at least 14 days in advance, and the Operator may not make the change without the Director's approval.

PRIOR DATES

Date of Surface Owner notification/consultation, if required. 11/15/2022

Actual Spill or Release date, or date of discovery. 04/21/2023

SITE INVESTIGATION DATES

Date of Initial Actions described in Site Investigation Plan (start date). 04/07/2023

Proposed site investigation commencement. 01/09/2023

Proposed completion of site investigation. 11/16/2023

REMEDIAL ACTION DATES

Proposed start date of Remediation. 04/07/2023

Proposed date of completion of Remediation. 10/30/2023

Per Rule 913.d.(2): Any change from the approved implementation schedule will be requested at least 14 days in advance, and the Operator may not make the change without the Director's approval.

Change from approved implementation schedule per Rule 913.d.(2).

Basis for change in implementation schedule:

OPERATOR COMMENT

Laboratory analytical results from facility decommissioning soil sample SEP02-DL@3' indicate concentrations of 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene (1,2,4-TMB), 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene (1,3,5-TMB), naphthalene, 1-methylnaphthalene (1-M), and 2-methylnaphthalene (2-M) above ECMC Table 915-1 Protection of Groundwater Soil Screening Levels (GSSL). Hydrocarbon compounds were all below laboratory detection limits in the 15 soil samples collected during the site assessment.

Laboratory analytical results from decommissioning soil sample SEP02-DL@3' and from all 15 site assessment soil samples indicate elevated concentrations of arsenic above ECMC Table 915-1 GSSL and/or ECMC Table 915-1 Residential Soil Screening Levels (RSSL). The average background concentration of arsenic with a 1.25 multiplier applied and the average soil boring concentration of arsenic were calculated to be 0.759 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg) and 0.717 mg/kg, respectively. Since the average background concentration for arsenic was calculated to be higher than the average arsenic concentration in the soil boring samples, arsenic should not be considered a contaminant of concern at the Site.

Laboratory analytical results indicate pH concentrations below the ECMC Table 915-1 Soil Suitability for Reclamation (SSR) standard in four decommissioning soil samples and one site assessment soil sample. Four of the five soil samples were below the ECMC Table 915-1 SSR standard limit. One soil sample, AST01@0.5', had a pH concentration that was not within 0.5 of the lower pH ECMC Table 915-1 SSR standard. However, given the shallow depth of the sample, the soil at that sample location area will be scraped during facility abandonment activities. As such, pH should not be considered a contaminant of concern at the Site.

Groundwater was not encountered during decommissioning or site assessment activities at the Site. As such, a desktop review of Colorado's Division of Water Resources (DWR) Well Permit Research Mapper was performed to determine the depth to water below ground surface in permitted water wells within a 0.5-mile radius of the Site. For a visual representation of the results of this inquiry refer to Figure 4. Eight permitted water wells were identified within the 0.5-mile radius. According to the permit records, the average static groundwater level in this region is 172 ft bgs. Since groundwater is recorded to be 172 ft bgs in the region of the Site, and since soil impacts at the Site are limited to less than 3 ft bgs, there is no pathway for contaminant migration to the groundwater table. As such, Noble proposes to utilize ECMC Table 915-1 RSSL when evaluating soil sample analytical results. While concentrations of arsenic are still above ECMC Table 915-1 RSSL, the average arsenic concentrations in the soil boring samples are below the established background concentrations at the Site.

I hereby certify all statements made in this form are to the best of my knowledge true, correct, and complete.

Signed: Allan Engelhardt

Title: Environmental Consultant

Submit Date: _____

Email: chevroneform@tasman-geo.com

Based on the information provided herein, this Application for Site Investigation and Remediation Workplan complies with COGCC Rules and applicable orders and is hereby approved.

COGCC Approved: _____

Date: _____

Remediation Project Number: 26391

COA Type

Description

0 COA	
-------	--

Attachment Check List

Upon approval, the approved Form 27 and all listed attachments will be indexed to the Remediation Project file. Only the approved Form 27 will also be indexed to the related Facilities.

Att Doc Num

Name

403575910	SITE INVESTIGATION REPORT
-----------	---------------------------

Total Attach: 1 Files

General Comments

User Group

Comment

Comment Date

		Stamp Upon Approval
--	--	---------------------

Total: 0 comment(s)