



RECEIVED

DEC 08 1994

COLO. OIL & GAS CONS. COMM.

Oil & Gas Commission
1580 Logan Street
Suite 380
Denver, Colorado 80203

ORIGINAL

In the matter of the Rules and Regulations of the Colorado Oil & Gas Conservation Commission of Kiowa County, Colorado, Cause No. 1, Docket No. 12-28, we request the following protest be filed.

As the surface owners of NE/4 1-18-42, we have not been notified of the damages to be paid or how the well is to be accessed. The land is currently in growing wheat, and we feel we should receive a percent of the well.

We are also concerned about the adverse affects this well would have on the other 4 producing wells from the Morrow Formation in the section. The saltwater could be detrimental to the future production of this formation.

We request this information be considered by the commission when making their decision on this matter.

Sincerely,

Brad & Dee Anna Schneider
Box 661
Tribune, Kansas 67879

JAN

Filing Fee for Hearings before the Commission

Date Received 12/08/94

Applicant _____

Respondant
(Protester) _____

Intervenor _____

Date of Check 12/08/94

Check No. 6328 Amt. \$70.00

Maker of Check Brad or DeAnn Schneider

Bank issued on First National Bank

E. J. Shibone, R.S.



RECEIVED

DEC 13 1994

COLO. OIL & GAS CONS. COMM.

0034 Beaver Ln.
Carbondale, Co. 81623
Dec. 9, 1994
RE: NW 1/4 of 6-18-41

ORIGINAL

Department of Natural Resources
Oil and Gas Conservation Commission
1580 Logan Street Suite 380
Denver, Co. 80203

Dear Sir:

In regards to the intention of Bristol Resources and of the Dec. 19 and 20th hearing on the Leathers Well, I would like to further my protest. Since writing the letter of July 12, 1994, I have talked to a state geologist from another state. He suggests that the land might not be contaminated from the saline solution, but that the oil production from my land could be pushed to other wells. If you allow this than Bristol Resources should have to make some payment from the production of their wells and all other oil companies in this proximity should have to pay to all of us who are neighboring lands who might be adversely affected..

Since I am an offset owner of minerals and of surface I still object in that Bristol would be allowing an uncontrolled flow and unmonitored flow into the Morrow formation and would be looking for the advantages to come to their production on other lands.

Sincerely,

Willa Mae Silliman Southard

