

Public Comments

The following comments were provided by members of the public and were considered during the technical review of this application.

<u>No.</u>	<u>Comment</u>	<u>Comment Date</u>
1	Please slow Extraction down. Their drilling plan is poorly put together and inaccurate, e.g., citing that they can inject wastewater and deposit cuttings in Broomfield and using studies on air quality that lack scientific vigor. We don't trust them to follow through on promises made in their so-called cooperation with the city. We need your help in holding them to the highest standards in protecting our health and safety.	02/18/2018
2	COGCC knows the number of hours, meetings, forums, and testimony that has taken place for the last two + years with Extraction Oil and Gas and Broomfield County. To approve these permits knowing that Extraction has submitted a CDP that does not meet the requirements agreed upon in the MOU is a refusal to do the job that you are required to perform by law. You must look at the health and safety of citizens of Colorado and ensure that oil and gas operators are meeting this criteria. Broomfield has a MOU that has attempted to meet this requirement and now Extraction is not putting these requirements in their CDP. You are probably overwhelmed with permits and I guess don't even read CDP, but this project is too massive and too close to residential communities to take these permits lightly. Do not approve their permits until the CDP is fully approved by Broomfield.	02/18/2018
3	The City of Broomfield has concluded that Extraction should be prohibited from submitting its Form 2 and 2A (drilling) permits to the State (COGCC), until Extraction submits to the City a complete Comprehensive Drilling Plan for all of the proposed wells in compliance with the Operator Agreement. The CDP submitted to the city is not approved by the city.	02/19/2018
4	<p>Over the Martin Luther King holiday weekend, I was one of the numerous Broomfield residents who reviewed thoroughly the 700-page "Comprehensive Drilling Plan" submitted by EXTRACTION Oil&Gas (XOG). We found the document to be non-compliant with the requirements as agreed to by XOG and the city of Broomfield. Furthermore, there are numerous unresolved issues that have been ignored by XOG. I know the city has its list of unresolved issues that will be forwarded to COGCC. I also know that other residents have given you the list of non-compliant details and unresolved issues.</p> <p>On this President's Day weekend, it will be appropriate for the COGCC to let the Oil & Gas Industry know that if it insists on drilling in highly populated residential areas, then the old way of doing things will not be accepted. With homes, schools and churches in close proximity, the cost is simply too high to be shoddy. If the Industry can't even take the time to submit proper documentation, it is also a harbinger of the kind of field work they will embark on. The COGCC cannot overlook this. It is time for the COGCC to take a tough stand.</p>	02/19/2018
5	<p>The CDP submitted to the city is not approved by the City of Broomfield. The City of Broomfield has concluded that Extraction should be prohibited from submitting its Form 2 and 2A (drilling) permits to the State (COGCC), until Extraction submits to the City a complete Comprehensive Drilling Plan for all of the proposed wells in compliance with the Operator Agreement.</p> <p>It is the duty of COGCC to abide by Broomfield's 301 and the Martinez decision. And, per the COGCC's own mission statement, this (tax-payer funded organization) is charged with fostering the RESPONSIBLE development of Colorado's oil and gas natural resources in a manner consistent with the protection of PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, and welfare, including the ENVIRONMENT and wildlife resources. Fracking and oil/gas production have no place in urban environments like this. This is an established family home, school, church, and recreational area with city drinking water reservoirs nearby.</p> <p>I object to any further permitting on this site. Approving this site would NOT be consistent with the COGCC's own mission to protect health, safety and the environment.</p>	02/22/2018
6	<p>Please deny the permits for this site until the following have been completed.</p> <p>1. Until the investigation of the Windsor explosion has been completed and the necessary steps have been taken by Extraction to keep this from happening again. My house would have been in the evacuation zone along with countless neighbors homes. How many people would have been hurt or killed had this happened at this site? There is no report as to why this happened and what steps are being taken to prevent this from happening again.</p>	02/23/2018

	<p>2.I'm not sure how a permit can be given when the ownership of the minerals is in question. You cant give a permit to a company who does not own the minerals.</p> <p>3.Extraction Oil and Gas drilling plan has not been approved by Bloomfield. As per its MOU with the city this needs to be in place before drilling can begin.</p> <p>Please do your job and protect the citizens of Colorado. Its the law.</p>	
7	<p>Regarding the drilling permits, form 2, for the Livingston Pad in Broomfield, I urge you to not approve them. The City of Broomfield negotiated an MOU with Extraction in October 2017. Part of that MOU is that the city must approve Extraction's drilling plan prior to them submitting permit applications to the COGCC. The city has not approved the drilling plan due to many errors and omissions in the plan. Extraction submitted their permit applications anyway, in violation of the MOU. The COGCC spacing orders for this spacing unit indicated that any wells permitted for this spacing unit must comply with the MOU. Since Extraction is not complying with the MOU the COGCC must not approve any related drilling permits if you are following the direction of your own spacing orders.</p> <p>In addition, the COGCC will have no money in their budget very soon. How can a regulator with no funds to pay their costs effectively do their job? If you have no funding regulate current oil and gas developments, then you certainly should not be approving any new permits for drilling until you have the funding.</p> <p>Finally, the COGCC is currently operating outside of the law based on the Martinez court decision. That decision states you must ensure health and safety first, before approving permits for drilling. Since you have taken no actions to determine if these drilling activities are safe in close proximity to homes, then no permits should be approved until they are proven safe.</p>	02/23/2018
8	<p>Regarding the drilling permits, form 2, for the Livingston Pad in Broomfield, I urge you to not approve them. The City of Broomfield negotiated an MOU with Extraction in October 2017. Part of that MOU is that the city must approve Extraction's drilling plan prior to them submitting permit applications to the COGCC. The city has not approved the drilling plan due to many errors and omissions in the plan. Extraction submitted their permit applications anyway, in violation of the MOU. The COGCC spacing orders for this spacing unit indicated that any wells permitted for this spacing unit must comply with the MOU. Since Extraction is not complying with the MOU the COGCC must not approve any related drilling permits if you are following the direction of your own spacing orders.</p> <p>In addition, the COGCC will have no money in their budget very soon. How can a regulator with no funds to pay their costs effectively do their job? If you have no funding regulate current oil and gas developments, then you certainly should not be approving any new permits for drilling until you have the funding.</p> <p>Finally, the COGCC is currently operating outside of the law based on the Martinez court decision. That decision states you must ensure health and safety first, before approving permits for drilling. Since you have taken no actions to determine if these drilling activities are safe in close proximity to homes, then no permits should be approved until they are proven safe.</p>	02/23/2018

Total: 8 comment(s)