

**MARATHON OIL COMPANY  
NOTICE OF COMPLETION REPORT FOR  
INTERIM RECLAMATION OF  
697-26A**

*January 20, 2012*

Prepared For:



**Marathon Oil Company  
743 Horizon Court, Suite 220  
Grand Junction, Colorado 81506**

Prepared By:



**InterTech Environmental & Engineering, LLC  
743 Horizon Court, Suite 110  
Grand Junction, Colorado 81506**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
<b>INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>697-26A SITE ACTIVITIES.....</b>	<b>1</b>
Daily Tailgate Health & Safety Meetings .....	1
Background Sampling .....	1
Equipment Mobilization and Start-up.....	1
Pit Entry Management.....	2
Pit Contents Sampling.....	2
Mixing Calculations .....	2
Mixing of the Cuttings with Native Soil.....	2
Backfilling of the Excavation.....	3
Seeding and Stabilization.....	3

## LIST OF TABLES

### Table

1 - Reclamation Sample Analytical Data Summary

## LIST OF APPENDICES

### Appendix

A - Photographs

## INTRODUCTION

This report covers the activities associated with the closure of the on-site reserve pit at Marathon Oil Company's 697-26A well pad. At the time of the initial site visit, the pit contained what appeared to be drill cuttings in an unlined pit (Photo 1, Appendix A).

Marathon retained InterTech Environmental & Engineering, L.L.C. (InterTech), to manage the reclamation of this pit. Pit closure activities began on August 8, 2011, and included mixing the pit's contents with clean soil such that the resulting mixture complied with constituent concentration limits as specified in Table 910-1 of the 900-Series E&P Waste Management section of the Colorado Department of Natural Resources Oil and Gas Conservation Commission (COGCC) Rules (Table 910-1).

## 697-26A SITE ACTIVITIES

### Daily Tailgate Health & Safety Meetings

All personnel attended a daily Tailgate Health & Safety Meeting (Tailgate) and evaluated the site as an excavation utilizing Marathon's excavation safety checklist. These meetings were conducted to refresh all personnel on safety and potential environmental issues relevant to the day's activities. The excavation safety checklist was used to ensure safety and evaluate the need for safe work permits as conditions and tasks changed. A record of each document was kept and all participants were required to sign the Tailgate attendance roster.

### Background Sampling

In 2010, field-wide background sampling occurred to provide baselines for each representative gulch. The Marathon Oil Company 2011-2012 Closure Plan for Piceance Asset Pits, Garfield County, Colorado (2011 Pit Closure Plan), depicts the background grab soil sample locations. The soil samples were submitted to Accutest Laboratories under chain-of-custody protocols and were analyzed for the constituents listed in COGCC's Table 910-1. The 2011 Pit Closure Plan summarizes the analytical results for the background samples.

According to the 2011 Pit Closure Plan, Form 4 Sundry Notices will be submitted for arsenic and PAH concentrations. These concentrations are attributed to naturally-occurring background concentrations of arsenic in the region and the PAH concentrations of the Green River Formation's Mahogany Zone. Please refer to the 2011 Pit Closure Plan for additional information.

### Equipment Mobilization and Start-up

Prior to commencement of closure activities, Moody Construction arranged for the location and marking of all underground utility lines at the facility. Following utility marking, a vacuum truck was used to remove the standing fluids from the pit surface. Equipment consisting of a track hoe, a front-end loader, and a D8 bulldozer were transported to the 697-26A well pad on August 10, 2011, by Moody. A grader was also used during part of the project to assist in material management activities.

## **Pit Entry Management**

The pit fence was maintained throughout the mixing process. Sections were taken down as needed to facilitate mixing, but were set-up again at the end of each day. This occurred until all of the pit material was mixed and bailed from the pit. After all of the pit material had been removed, a berm approximately three feet in height was maintained around the pit to prevent accidental entry by vehicles. Additionally, the entry ramp was blocked each night by a piece of heavy equipment to prevent unauthorized vehicle entry.

## **Pit Contents Sampling**

Prior to pit closure and interim pad reclamation, two pit characterization samples were collected and sent to Accutest Laboratories under chain-of-custody protocols where they were analyzed for the constituents listed in COGCC's Table 910-1. Based on the results from the characterization samples, only the parameters that exceeded Table 910-1 limits were analyzed during mix ratio composite sampling.

## **Mixing Calculations**

Based on the closed-loop drilling system that was utilized, it was determined that mixing clean soil with the pit contents at a ratio of 1:1 should result in a soil mixture with constituent concentrations below COGCC Table 910-1 limits. Once the 1:1 ratio had been achieved, soil was collected from two distinct locations (which were documented on field forms) within the mixed materials and composited into one sample. This process was then repeated in order to obtain a total of two composite samples. The analytical results indicated that the 1:1 ratio mixture did not meet the benzene limits identified in Table 910-1. The material was then landfarmed on location to allow natural processes to mitigate the benzene concentrations. After the material had been landfarmed, two additional mix ratio composite samples were collected and analyzed for benzene. The results demonstrated that the benzene concentrations were within Table 910-1 limits; as such, the final mix ratio was 1:1. Table 1 summarizes the analytical results for 697-26A 1:1 mix ratio composite samples.

## **Mixing of the Cuttings with Native Soil**

The mixing of pit contents with clean soil occurred inside of the pit. Native soil was obtained from portions of the pad slated for removal during re-contouring activities necessary for interim reclamation. A bulldozer and a front-end loader were used to push and carry the soil from the pad's western edge onto its interior surface where it could be used as clean material for mixing with the pit's contents (Photo 2). After a pile of clean soil had been established on the pad, the clean soil was systematically added to the cuttings inside of the pit using an excavator and bulldozer to turn and mix the materials (Photos 3 and 4).

The mixing was performed within the pit in order to dry and solidify the pit material, as well as to dilute the concentrations of its COGCC Table 910-1 constituents. The mixed material was then placed on the drilling pad where a bulldozer and a front-end loader continued the mixing process by combining additional clean soil with the extracted material and rolling the two together to reach a dry, homogenous mixture (Photo 5). Samples of the mixed soil were collected for laboratory analysis. These samples were tested only for the constituents that previously exceeded COGCC Table 910-1 limits. The final mixing ratio of clean soil to pit

material was 1:1. The resulting analytical data indicated that the constituent concentrations of the mixture were below COGCC Table 910-1 limits. The results further demonstrated that constituent concentrations were below CDPHE agricultural standards.

As per the 2011 Pit Closure Plan, three feet of clean fill was not required within the pit, so topsoil was placed over the mixed material upon completion of backfilling operations.

### **Backfilling of the Excavation**

Backfilling of the excavation was achieved by placing the 1:1 mixture of clean soil and cuttings back into the excavation (Photo 7). The mixed material was placed load-by-load into the excavation with each load being thoroughly compacted using the tracks of a dozer. This process continued until the excavation was completely filled.

Once the excavation had been backfilled, areas of the well pad no longer required for production were roughly graded to their original contour (Photo 8). These re-contoured areas were then top-soiled, thereby mitigating the potential effects of physical parameters on reclamation success. Backfilling and re-contouring operations concluded on August 30, 2011.

### **Seeding and Stabilization**

The disturbed areas will be seeded in the spring of 2012. Western States Reclamation (WSR) has evaluated the well pad and has developed a site-specific plan for seeding. Seed, fertilizer, hydro-mulch, and additional amendments have been ordered and are being stored at Marathon's Latham Yard for use next spring. After sufficient snowmelt, all areas disturbed during interim reclamation activities will be seeded by drilling, hydro-seeding, or a combination of techniques in order to achieve slope stabilization.

***TABLE 1***

**Table 1: Analytical Data Summary for Marathon 697-26A**

Parameter Name	Final Concentrations of Remediated Material	Units of Measure
<b>Organic Compounds</b>		
Acenaphthene	0.17	mg/kg
Anthracene	0.26	mg/kg
Benzene	0.10	mg/kg
Benzo(A)anthracene	1.15	mg/kg
Benzo(A)pyrene	0.77	mg/kg
Benzo(B)fluoranthene	1.39	mg/kg
Benzo(K)fluoranthene	0.54	mg/kg
Chrysene	1.32	mg/kg
Dibenzo(A,H)anthracene	0.37	mg/kg
Ethylbenzene	0.58	mg/kg
Fluoranthene	1.84	mg/kg
Fluorene	0.36	mg/kg
Indeno(1,2,3-CD)pyrene	0.65	mg/kg
Naphthalene	0.68	mg/kg
Pyrene	1.15	mg/kg
Toluene	6.59	mg/kg
TPH	382.00	mg/kg
Xylenes	6.67	mg/kg
<b>Metals</b>		
Arsenic	3.45	mg/kg
Barium	1305.00	mg/kg
Boron	5.00	mg/kg
Cadmium	1.00	mg/kg
Calcium	22.60	mg/l
Chromium, Total	7.40	mg/kg
Chromium, Hexavalent	0.40	mg/kg
Chromium, Trivalent	7.40	mg/kg
Copper	33.40	mg/kg
Lead	12.45	mg/kg
Magnesium	4.06	mg/l
Mercury	0.09	mg/kg
Nickel	7.95	mg/kg
Selenium	5.00	mg/kg
Silver	3.00	mg/kg
Sodium	295.00	mg/l
Zinc	35.20	mg/kg
<b>Liquid Hydrocarbons</b>		
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	358.50	mg/kg
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	23.50	mg/kg
<b>General Chemistry</b>		
Electrical Conductivity (EC)	1300.00	umhos/cm
pH	8	su
Sodium Adsorption Ratio (SAR)	12.25	ratio

***APPENDIX A - Photographs***



Photo 1 – 697-26A reserve pit containing drill cuttings.



Photo 2 – D8 providing clean fill material from NW corner to track hoe for mixing.



Photo 3 – Track hoe mixing pit contents with clean material within pit.



Photo 4 – Track hoe mixing pit contents with clean material within pit.



Photo 5 – Front end loader rolling material to achieve homogenous mixture.



Photo 6 – Pit during backfilling operations.



Photo 7 – Pit during backfilling operations.



Photo 8 – Well pad after pit closure and reclamation.

**697-26A pad location  
Interim Reclamation - Revegetation**

May 2012 grading and seeding complete

Photos taken 5/22/2014



Northeastern cut slope



Southeastern edge of pad



Southeastern fill slope



Southeastern fill slope



South corner of pad



Top soil pile near south corner of pad



Top soil pile near south corner of pad



Reclaimed area near south corner of pad



Reclaimed area southwest of pad



Reclaimed area southwest of pad



Reclaimed area southwest of pad



Reclaimed area southwest of pad



West corner fill slope



Northwestern fill slope



Reclaimed area northwest of pad



Looking east across pad