

From: [Belanger, Paul](#)
To: [Yokley, Bill](#)
Cc: [Clayton, Bob](#); "[Amanda Stenjem](#)"
Subject: RE: Please call me
Date: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 8:29:02 AM

Bill,

Understood: I went the distance of the BHL for the vertical APD to the nearest lease line, and then, if I recall correctly, for the horizontal APD I took the distance to the nearest lease line for the producing interval – i.e. the horizontal wellbore path to a lease NOT associated with the leases involved with the wellbore path. It is correct to state that the wellbore that crosses 2 leases is zero feet and you can change it for the YB6 and all other APDs that will cross 2 or more leases.

You have authorization to change the distance to the nearest lease line to 0 if that is appropriate.

I will call you shortly, per your request.

Paul

From: Yokley, Bill [mailto:Bill.Yokley@state.co.us]
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 7:14 AM
To: Belanger, Paul
Subject: Please call me

Hey Paul,

Trying to work the in house APD's.

Specifically the YB 6 right now.

Issue: distance to nearest lease line on horizontals.

Because the horizontal portion of the borehole crosses beneath the lease line the distance to the nearest lease line is 0.

I have worked only the vertical and one horizontal on the YB 6 but assume the same situation exists on other recently submitted APD's.

I would like to get an email to the effect that I have authorization to change the distance to the nearest lease line to 0 if that is appropriate without the multiple exchanges of emails for each one.

Please call me.

Thanks,

Bill