



**Krabacher, Jay**

---

**From:** Onyskiw, Denise  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 1:40 PM  
**To:** Krabacher, Jay  
**Cc:** Andrews, David  
**Subject:** RE: Sundries for wells to be converted to Injection

Jay,

Sundries to convert to injection can be processed by your group if they are on the west side. Just remember to make sure their procedure is to get a water sample for analysis BEFORE fracing or other stuff that may affect the integrity of the sample. If they want to do a step-rate test, they must send us the results so we can calculate the fracture gradient (but not the every-two-second data logger data). If they want to do an injectivity test, then they are limited to 10 000 bbls over ten days.

Denise

---

**From:** Krabacher, Jay  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 1:06 PM  
**To:** Onyskiw, Denise  
**Cc:** Andrews, David  
**Subject:** Sundries for wells to be converted to Injection

Greetings:

“As promised” (or maybe ‘as threatened’) I will summarize our brief phone conversation regarding some Sundries sent to me from Denver COGCC recently. I believe it is because the “intent to recomplete” block is checked on these.

These are for:

Williams	045-10389	Clough RWF 623-21	2287361
Williams	045-10469	Clough RWF 434-21	2287364
Williams	045-07465	Clough RMV 215-21	2287367
Encana	045-11293	S G U 8506B F26 496	2287458

Each has apparently been reviewed and ‘passed’ by Permitting (either R E or B W initials in the Permit block). I will look at each well’s files, to check if the UIC Forms (33, 26, and 31) etc. are present.

Since I’m not sure if I should review/approve these, I’ll review anyway, but leave “in process.”

The doc #'s are in the corresponding 4<sup>th</sup> column, above.

Regards,

Jay Krabacher