

Kubeczko, Dave

From: Kubeczko, Dave
Sent: Wednesday, February 03, 2010 7:51 AM
To: Kubeczko, Dave
Subject: FW: Williams Production RMT Federal RU 23-5, NENW Sec 5 T7S R93W, Garfield County, Form 2A (#2585277) Review
Attachments: Hydrology_20100129.pdf; RU 23-5 POD.pdf

Scan No 2032807 CORRESPONDENCE 2A#2585277

From: Davis, Gregory [mailto:Gregory.Davis@Williams.com]
Sent: Sunday, January 31, 2010 2:08 PM
To: Kubeczko, Dave
Subject: FW: Williams Production RMT Federal RU 23-5, NENW Sec 5 T7S R93W, Garfield County, Form 2A (#2585277) Review

From: Collette, Dan
Sent: Friday, January 29, 2010 5:41 PM
To: Davis, Gregory
Cc: Mestas, April
Subject: RE: Williams Production RMT Federal RU 23-5, NENW Sec 5 T7S R93W, Garfield County, Form 2A (#2585277) Review

Greg,

Response to #2: Yes, I agree. We did not select the closest well. A zoomed out hydrology map is attached confirming Dave's finding that there is a water well closer than the one we previously identified.

Response to #3: Our Plan of Development is attached that provides Dave the information being requested.

Dan

From: Davis, Gregory
Sent: Thursday, January 21, 2010 7:01 AM
To: Mestas, April ; Collette, Dan
Subject: FW: Williams Production RMT Federal RU 23-5, NENW Sec 5 T7S R93W, Garfield County, Form 2A (#2585277) Review

From: Kubeczko, Dave [mailto:Dave.Kubeczko@state.co.us]
Sent: Wednesday, January 20, 2010 6:29 PM
To: Davis, Gregory
Subject: Williams Production RMT Federal RU 23-5, NENW Sec 5 T7S R93W, Garfield County, Form 2A (#2585277) Review

Greg,

I have been reviewing the Federal RU 23-5 **Form 2A** (#2585277). COGCC requests the following clarifications regarding the data Williams has submitted on or attached to the Form 2A prior to passing the Oil and Gas Location Assessment.

1. **Location Identification (Section 4):** Based on the longitude and latitude provided, the quarter/quarter section shown on the COGCC online map is Lot 2. Can you confirm that the equivalent quarter/quarter is NENW. I am not sure how to interpret the map, but COGCC's review would indicate that the quarter/quarter might be SENW. I can make the change (if necessary) if you send an email with this request.
2. **Water Resources (Section 14):** Form 2A indicates the distance to the nearest surface (i.e., the Colorado River) is 171 feet. Form 2A indicates the depth to groundwater to be 100 feet bgs for a well located 5898 feet from the proposed well. COGCC's review indicates there is a water well (Permit No. 250135-Taylor, domestic well) located 5084 feet to the east-northeast, with a total depth of 160 feet bgs, a depth to groundwater of 88 feet bgs, and a pumping rate of 10 gpm. I believe this may be the well you located. I can make the change if you send an email with this request.
3. **Rule 303.d.(3).C.:** Neither the scaled Construction Layout Drawing (Plat 2) nor the Location Drawing (Plat 6) show the location of the proposed gas pipeline. One of these drawings (preferably the scaled location drawing showing all visible improvements [pipelines, etc.] within 400 feet from the edge of surface disturbance) should show the anticipated location of this gas pipeline.

These issues are minor, however, they need to be addressed prior to passing the OGLA review. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call me at (970) 625-2497 x5 (office) or (970) 309-2514 (cell), or email. Thanks.

Dave

David A. Kubeczko, PG
Oil and Gas Location Assessment Specialist

Colorado Oil & Gas Conservation Commission
Northwest Area Office
707 Wapiti Court, Suite 204
Rifle, CO 81650
Phone: (970) 625-2497x5
FAX: (970) 625-5682
Cell: (970) 309-2514
dave.kubeczko@state.co.us



 *Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail*