

## Kubeczko, Dave

---

**From:** Kubeczko, Dave  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 15, 2009 9:24 AM  
**To:** Kubeczko, Dave  
**Subject:** FW: Pioneer Natural Resources, Gus 13-18 Tr, NWSW Sec 18 T32S R65W, Las Animas County, Form 2A (#400011512) Review

Scan No 2032695      CORRESPONDENCE      2A#400011512

---

**From:** Kovacik, Georgina [mailto:Georgina.Kovacik@pxd.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 09, 2009 12:22 PM  
**To:** Kubeczko, Dave  
**Subject:** RE: Pioneer Natural Resources, Gus 13-18 Tr, NWSW Sec 18 T32S R65W, Las Animas County, Form 2A (#400011512) Review

Hi Dave,

Sorry these took so long, I thought I had responded to all the e-mails.

1. For the construction dates please use construction date: 4/23/2010 and interim reclamation date: 7/23/2010. Also please in the question, will salt or oil based muds be used?, please mark the box no.
2. The nearest building is a barn that is 3500 ft away, our surveyor used newer aerial photos.
3. Please go ahead and change to 28 feet for the water static level.

Thanks!

Georgina Kovacik  
PNR

---

**From:** Kubeczko, Dave [mailto:Dave.Kubeczko@state.co.us]  
**Sent:** Monday, November 16, 2009 3:06 PM  
**To:** Kovacik, Georgina  
**Subject:** Pioneer Natural Resources, Gus 13-18 Tr, NWSW Sec 18 T32S R65W, Las Animas County, Form 2A (#400011512) Review

Georgina,

I have been reviewing the Gus 13-18 Tr (#400011512) **Form 2A**. COGCC requests the following clarifications regarding the data Pioneer has submitted on or attached to the Form 2A prior to passing the Oil and Gas Location Assessment.

1. **Construction (Section 6):** The dates for construction and interim reclamation appear to be switched. Can you confirm this. In addition, the size of the disturbed area during construction (1.00 acres) and size of location after interim reclamation (1.00 acres) are the same. I would think that after interim reclamation, the disturbed area would be less. Can you please confirm what these sizes are. A box answering the question will salt (>15,000 ppm TDS Cl) or oil based muds be used?: needs to be marked. I can make the changes if you send an email with these requests.
2. **Cultural (Section 9):** The Form 2A indicates a distance to the nearest building of 3500 feet; COGCC review of the 2005 aerial photograph could not identify this structure. Can you confirm the direction for this.
3. **Water Resources (Section 14):** Form 2A indicates the depth to groundwater to be 95 feet bgs for a well located 2412 feet away from the proposed pad. COGCC's review indicates there is a water well (Permit No. 131033-Dalton, domestic well) to the south, with a total depth of 95 feet bgs, a depth to groundwater of 28 feet bgs, and a pumping rate of 11 gpm. The number that comes up on our GIS map is 95 feet (this number often

pertains to the total depth of the well, not the depth to groundwater). I can make this change if you send me an email with this request.

These are minor changes and I would like to get this passed. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call me at (970) 625-2497 x5 (office) or (970) 309-2514 (cell), or email. Thanks.

Dave

**David A. Kubeczko, PG**  
**Oil and Gas Location Assessment Specialist**

Colorado Oil & Gas Conservation Commission  
Northwest Area Office  
707 Wapiti Court, Suite 204  
Rifle, CO 81650  
Phone: (970) 625-2497x5  
FAX: (970) 625-5682  
Cell: (970) 309-2514  
[dave.kubeczko@state.co.us](mailto:dave.kubeczko@state.co.us)

 *Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail*

Statement of Confidentiality:

This message may contain information that is privileged or confidential. If you receive this transmission in error, please notify the sender by reply e-mail and delete the message and any attachments.