



ALS Paragon



Total Organic Carbon Case Narrative

Colorado Oil & Gas Conservation Commission

Complaint 200206880

Work Order Number: 0904002

1. This report consists of 1 water sample.
2. The sample was received cool and intact by ALS Paragon on 04/01/09.
3. The samples had been correctly preserved for the requested analysis.
4. The samples were prepared for analysis based on Methods for the Chemical Analysis of Waters and Wastes (MCAWW), May 1994 procedures.
5. The samples were analyzed following MCAWW procedures for the following method:

<u>Analyte</u>	<u>Method</u>	<u>SOP #</u>
TOC (Total Organic Carbon)	415.1	670 Rev 12
6. All standards and solutions were used within their recommended shelf life.
7. The sample was prepared and analyzed within the established hold time for TOC analysis.

All in house quality control procedures were followed, as described below.

8. General quality control procedures.
 - n A preparation (method) blank, laboratory control sample (LCS) and laboratory control sample duplicate (LCSD) were prepared and analyzed with the samples in this preparation batch. There were not more than 20 samples in this preparation batch.
 - n The method blank associated with this batch was below the reporting limit for the requested analyte. This indicates that no contaminants were introduced to the samples during preparation and analysis.
 - n The LCS and LCSD were within the acceptance limits for TOC analysis.



- All continuing calibration verifications (CCV) associated with this batch were within the acceptance criteria for the requested analyte. This indicates a valid calibration and stable instrument conditions.

9. Matrix specific quality control procedures.

Since a sample from this Order Number was not the selected quality control (QC) sample, matrix specific QC results are not included in this report.

The data contained in the following report have been reviewed and approved by the personnel listed below. In addition, ALS Paragon certifies that the analyses reported herein are true, complete and correct within the limits of the methods employed.

SM
Sharon A. Jobs
Organics Primary Data Reviewer

4-8-09
Date

Eric Bayless
Organics Final Data Reviewer

4/8/09
Date

ALS Paragon

Sample Number(s) Cross-Reference Table

Paragon OrderNum: 0904002

Client Name: Colorado Oil & Gas Conservation Commission

Client Project Name: Complaint 200206880

Client Project Number:

Client PO Number: OE PHA 09000000004

Client Sample Number	Lab Sample Number	COC Number	Matrix	Date Collected	Time Collected
Ross WW	0904002-1		WATER	31-Mar-09	10:18
Trip Blank	0904002-2		WATER	31-Mar-09	

CONDITION OF SAMPLE UPON RECEIPT FORM

Paragon Analytics

Client: COGCC

Workorder No: 0904002

Project Manager: AW

Initials: CDT Date: 4-1-09

1. Does this project require any special handling in addition to standard Paragon procedures?		YES	<input checked="" type="radio"/> NO
2. Are custody seals on shipping containers intact?		NONE	<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES NO
3. Are Custody seals on sample containers intact?		<input checked="" type="radio"/> NONE	YES NO
4. Is there a COC (Chain-of-Custody) present or other representative documents?		<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES	NO
5. Are the COC and bottle labels complete and legible?		<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES	NO
6. Is the COC in agreement with samples received? (IDs, dates, times, no. of samples, no. of containers, matrix, requested analyses, etc.)		<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES	<input checked="" type="radio"/> NO
7. Were airbills / shipping documents present and/or removable?	DROP OFF	<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES	NO
8. Are all aqueous samples requiring preservation preserved correctly? (excluding volatiles)	N/A	<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES	<input checked="" type="radio"/> NO
9. Are all aqueous non-preserved samples pH 4-9?	N/A	<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES	NO
10. Is there sufficient sample for the requested analyses?		<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES	NO
11. Were all samples placed in the proper containers for the requested analyses?		<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES	NO
12. Are all samples within holding times for the requested analyses?		<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES	NO
13. Were all sample containers received intact ? (not broken or leaking, etc.)		<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES	NO
14. Are all samples requiring no headspace (VOC, GRO, RSK/MEE, Rx CN/S, radon) headspace free? Size of bubble: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> < green pea <input type="checkbox"/> > green pea	N/A	YES	<input checked="" type="radio"/> NO
15. Do perchlorate LCMS-MS samples have headspace? (at least 1/3 of container required)	<input checked="" type="radio"/> N/A	YES	NO
16. Were samples checked for and free from the presence of residual chlorine ? (Applicable when PM has indicated samples are from a chlorinated water source; note if field preservation with sodium thiosulfate was not observed.)	<input checked="" type="radio"/> N/A	YES	NO
17. Were the samples shipped on ice ?		<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES	NO
18. Were cooler temperatures measured at 0.1-6.0°C? IR gun used*: #2 <input checked="" type="radio"/> #4		RAD ONLY	<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES NO
Cooler #: <u>1</u>			
Temperature (°C): <u>3.8</u>			
No. of custody seals on cooler: <u>1</u>			
External µR/hr reading: <u>13</u>			
Background µR/hr reading: <u>11</u>			
Were external µR/hr readings ≤ two times background and within DOT acceptance criteria? <input checked="" type="radio"/> YES / NO / NA (If no. see Form 008.)			

Additional Information: PROVIDE DETAILS BELOW FOR A NO RESPONSE TO ANY QUESTION ABOVE, EXCEPT #1 AND #16.

- Headspace. 0904002-2.1 < green pea (Trip Blank - not listed on COC)
- Metals bottle received unpreserved. Filter + preserve prior to analysis.

If applicable, was the client contacted? YES / NO / NA Contact: Peter Goutantas Date/Time: e-mail 4/1/09

Project Manager Signature / Date: [Signature] 4/1/09

*IR Gun #2: Oakton, SN 29922500201-0066

*IR Gun #4: Oakton, SN 237220101-0002



Inorganic Data Reporting Qualifiers

The following qualifiers are used by the laboratory when reporting results of inorganic analyses.

- Concentration qualifier -- If the analyte was analyzed for but not detected a “U” is entered.
- QC qualifier -- Specified entries and their meanings are as follows:
 - N - Spiked sample recovery not within control limits.
 - * - Duplicate analysis (relative percent difference) not within control limits.
 - B - The method blank for the analysis contained the analyte of interest above the reporting limit.

TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON

Method EPA415.1

Sample Results

Lab Name: ALS Paragon

Client Name: Colorado Oil & Gas Conservation Commission

Client Project ID: Complaint 200206880

Work Order Number: 0904002

Final Volume: 40 ml

Reporting Basis: As Received

Matrix: WATER

Prep Method: NONE

Result Units: MG/L

Client Sample ID	Lab ID	Date Collected	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	Percent Moisture	Dilution Factor	Result	Reporting Limit	Flag	Sample Aliquot
Ross WW	0904002-1	03/31/2009	04/07/2009	04/07/2009	N/A	1	1	1	U	40 ml

Comments:

1. ND or U = Not Detected at or above the client requested detection limit.

Data Package ID: *MO0904002-1*

Date Printed: Tuesday, April 07, 2009

ALS Paragon

Page 1 of 1

LIMS Version: 6.254A

TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON

Method EPA415.1

Method Blank

Lab Name: ALS Paragon

Work Order Number: 0904002

Client Name: Colorado Oil & Gas Conservation Commission

ClientProject ID: Complaint 200206880

Lab ID: MO090407-1MB

Sample Matrix: WATER

% Moisture: N/A

Prep Batch: MO090407-1

QCBatchID: MO090407-1-1

Run ID: MO090407-1A

Cleanup: NONE

Basis: N/A

Sample Aliquot: 40 ml

Final Volume: 40 ml

Result Units: MG/L

Lab ID	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	Percent Moisture	Dilution Factor	Result	Reporting Limit	Flag
MO090407-1MB	4/7/2009	04/07/2009	N/A	1	1	1	U

Comments:

1. ND or U = Not Detected at or above the client requested detection limit.

Data Package ID: MO0904002-1

Date Printed: Tuesday, April 07, 2009

ALS Paragon

LIMS Version: 6.254A

Page 1 of 1

Organic Carbon

Method EPA415.1

Laboratory Control Sample and Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate

Lab Name: ALS Paragon

Work Order Number: 0904002

Client Name: Colorado Oil & Gas Conservation Commission

ClientProject ID: Complaint 200206880

Lab ID: MO090407-1LCS

Sample Matrix: WATER

Prep Batch: MO090407-1

Sample Aliquot: 40 ml

% Moisture: N/A

QCBatchID: MO090407-1-1

Final Volume: 40 ml

Date Collected: N/A

Run ID: MO090407-1A

Result Units: MG/L

Date Extracted: 04/07/2009

Cleanup: NONE

Clean DF: 1

Date Analyzed: 04/07/2009

Basis: N/A

Prep Method: NONE

File Name: 04071038

CASNO	Target Analyte	Spike Added	LCS Result	Reporting Limit	Result Qualifier	LCS % Rec.	Control Limits
10-35-5	TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON	15	15.2	1		101	85 - 115%

Lab ID: MO090407-1LCSD

Sample Matrix: WATER

Prep Batch: MO090407-1

Sample Aliquot: 40 ml

% Moisture: N/A

QCBatchID: MO090407-1-1

Final Volume: 40 ml

Date Collected: N/A

Run ID: MO090407-1A

Result Units: MG/L

Date Extracted: 04/07/2009

Cleanup: NONE

Clean DF: 1

Date Analyzed: 04/07/2009

Basis: N/A

Prep Method: NONE

File Name: 04071038

CASNO	Target Analyte	Spike Added	LCSD Result	Reporting Limit	Result Qualifier	LCSD % Rec.	RPD Limit	RPD
10-35-5	TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON	15	15.2	1		101	20	0

Data Package ID: MO0904002-1

Date Printed: Tuesday, April 07, 2009

ALS Paragon

Page 1 of 1

LIMS Version: 6.254A